

Handbook for the Identification and Review of Students with Severe Disabilities 2008/2009



ALBERTA EDUCATION CATALOGUING IN PUBLICATION DATA

Alberta. Alberta Education. Special Programs.

Handbook for the identification and review of students with severe disabilities.

ISSN 1911-432X

1. Students with severe disabilities - Alberta - Identification. 2. Students with disabilities - Education - Alberta. I. Title.

LC 4634.2.A3.A333 2005

371.9

For further information, contact:

Alberta Education Special Programs Branch 8th Floor, 44 Capital Boulevard 10044 – 108 Street Edmonton, Alberta T5J 5E6

Telephone: (780) 422–6326 (Edmonton) or toll-free in Alberta by dialing 310-0000

Fax: (780) 422-2039

Document is available on the Alberta Education website: http://education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Copyright © 2008 the Crown in Right of Alberta, as represented by the Minister of Education, Alberta Education, 44 Capital Boulevard, Edmonton, Alberta, T5J 5E6.

Permission is given by the copyright owner to reproduce this document for educational purposes and on a non-profit basis.

Table of Contents

Section 1 - General Information

Introduction	1
2008/2009 Severe Disabilities Funding Process at a Glance	2
Documentation Requirements for All School Authorities	4
Appeal of Severe Disabilities Funding	5
ection 2 – Severe Disabilities Categories	
Severe Cognitive Disability (Code 41)	7
Special Education Coding Criteria	7
Questions and Answers	7
Case Study — Brandon	9
Severe Emotional/Behavioural Disability (Code 42)	20
Special Education Coding Criteria	20
Questions and Answers	20
Case Study — Harley	23
Severe Multiple Disability (Code 43)	34
Special Education Coding Criteria	34
Questions and Answers	34
Case Study — Amina	36
Severe Physical or Medical Disability (Code 44)	46
Special Education Coding Criteria	46
Questions and Answers	46
Case Study — Cheng	49
Deafness (Code 45)	60
Special Education Coding Criteria	60
Questions and Answers	60
Case Study — Lukina	62
Blindness (Code 46)	75
Special Education Coding Criteria	75
Questions and Answers	75
Case Study — Shannon	76
Section 3 — Appendices	
Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2008/2009	87
Profil de l'élève ayant une déficience grave (formulaire)	89
Sample Chart for Recording Anecdotal Information - Code 42	91
Chart for Recording Anecdotal Information - Code 42	92
Answers to Commonly Asked Questions	93
Glossary	94
Resources	96

Section 1 - General Information

Introduction

Alberta Education provides funding to school authorities to support the development and implementation of programming for students with severe disabilities. The Handbook for the Identification and Review of Students with Severe Disabilities presents case studies to assist school authorities in identifying students who may be eligible for special education programming and services. Information related to severe disabilities funding requirements is in the Funding Manual for School Authorities 2008-2009 School Year.

Funding for students with severe disabilities in school jurisdictions is based on the established severe disabilities jurisdiction profile. Funding for students with severe disabilities in private schools, designated special education private schools and charter schools continues to be based on the approval of students on an individual basis.

School authority personnel should direct enquiries regarding the identification of students with severe disabilities and related funding to Special Programs Branch at (780) 422-6326, toll-free in Alberta by first dialling 310-0000.

2008/2009 Severe Disabilities Funding Process at a Glance

MEGNICULEUS)	A SEEST COLUMN TO THE TOTAL	A Maria Maria	TO THE TO
Student identification	All schools/school authorities	Code students with severe disabilities.	Complete by Sep 30, 2008
Special Programs Branch Report	Private, charter and designated special education private schools	 Send student coding to Information Services Branch. Send report via Edulink. 	Count as of Sep 30, 2008 Submission by Oct 3 2008
Identification of students to be monitored	SPB	 Special Programs Branch (SPB) provides school with list of students to be monitored. 	Mid-October to mid-November 2008
Preparation of documentation for monitoring	School staff	Complete Student Monitoring Form 2008/2009 include copies of recent assessment/ diagnostic reports include IPP include anecdotal notes, where applicable.	Complete by mid-November 2008
Monitoring of student files	SPB	Either on-site visit or send copies of file information to SPB when requested. Optional:	November 2008 to January 2009
Severe Disabilities Funding Payment based on	SPB	 School authority advised of number of approved students and next monitoring dates. 	Mid-January 2009
September 30, 2008 enrollment	School Finance Branch	 School Finance Branch advised of number of approved students and finalizes payments. 	
March Prorated Funding	School/school authority	 Identify students with severe disabilities who enroll after September 30, 2008. Resolve any priority school conflicts. Resolve any transfer payments between private/charter schools. Send student coding to Information Services and School Finance branches. Send Special Programs Branch Report via Edulink. 	Count as of Mar 1, 2008 Submission by Mar 4, 2008

REQUESTION	RESPONSEDENT	ACTION	CATE
Preparation of documentation for prorated funding monitoring	School staff	Complete Student Monitoring Form 2008/2009 include copies of recent assessment/ diagnostic reports include IPP include anecdotal notes, where applicable	Complete by mid-March, 2009
Prorated funding monitoring of student files	SPB	Send documentation to SPB for monitoring when requested	Mid-April 2009
Severe Disabilities Funding Payment based on March 1, 2009 enrollment	SPB	School authority advised of number of approved students and next monitoring dates	Mid-April to May 2009
	School Finance Branch	School Finance Branch advised of number of approved students and finalizes payments	

Documentation Requirements for All School Authorities

School jurisdictions, charter schools, private schools, and designated special education private schools that receive funding from Alberta Education for students with severe disabilities must ensure that the following conditions are met.

- Funding for students with severe disabilities is based on all of the following requirements being met and implemented at the school level:
 - assessment and diagnosis by qualified personnel (note: diagnosis alone is not sufficient to qualify for funding)
 - documentation/assessment of the student's current level of functioning in the learning environment
 - a current individualized program plan (IPP) that addresses the student's diagnosed needs
 - identification of the types of supports and services being provided to the student.
- The IPP must be supported by appropriate medical, psychological or other
 professional documentation for each student with a severe disability identified and
 attending a school of the authority.
- Students with severe disabilities must receive three or more levels of support identified below:
 - a) a minimum 0.5 FTE one-to-one instruction/intervention (e.g., teacher and/or teacher assistant time)
 - b) specialized equipment or assistive technology
 - c) assistance with basic care (e.g., toileting, grooming, catheterization)
 - d) frequent documentation of medical and/or behaviour status
 - e) direct support services at a cost to the system (e.g., behaviour specialist, orientation and mobility specialist).
- School authorities will use the severe disabilities categories/codes and related criteria outlined in Special Education Coding Criteria 2008/2009 and the Handbook for the Identification and Review of Students with Severe Disabilities 2008/2009 to determine a student's disabling condition for severe disabilities identification purposes.
- Eligibility for severe disability funding is based on student registration information, as
 of the count date (September 30, 2008), that has been submitted to the Information
 Services Branch by October 3, 2008.
- All school authorities must also send by October 3, 2008, the electronic Special Programs Branch Report that lists the students identified with severe disabilities.
- School authorities that identify students with severe disabilities after September 30
 can submit changes during the year to School Finance Branch on Form 08 AEI.2c
 Base Instruction Add, Modify, Delete Form for Enrolments.

Appeal of Severe Disabilities Funding

Charter, Private, and Designated Special Education Private Schools

In situations where charter or private schools, including designated special education private schools, disagree with the outcome of the monitoring process for individual student severe disabilities funding, an appeal of the decision(s) can be made to the Director of Special Programs Branch using the process outlined below.

- School staff review the monitoring decision to clarify the rationale for the appeal.
- School administrator writes the Director of Special Programs Branch to request an appeal of the decision(s) of the monitoring process.
- The request for an appeal must be received by Special Programs Branch within 10 working days of the school receiving written notification that the student was not approved for severe disabilities funding.
- The appeal information submitted to the Director of Special Programs Branch must include:
 - student's name
 - severe disabilities code
 - Alberta Student Number (ASN)
 - a copy of all documentation contained in the student file that was submitted initially for monitoring.
- No new information can be added or considered during the appeal process.
- The originally submitted student documentation to support the appeal will be reviewed.
- After review of the appeal documentation, the contractor may recommend that:
 - the original decision stands or
 - funding is approved.
- The final decision regarding the appeal will be made by the Director of Special Programs Branch and communicated in writing to the school authority and School Finance Branch.

School Jurisdictions

School jurisdictions may request an audit of severe disabilities profile funding by writing the Director of Special Programs Branch by November 1, 2008. The Director of Special Programs Branch will arrange for a review team to visit the jurisdiction.

- The audit will consider the eligibility and approval of severe disabilities funding based on a review of each student's file. Each file must contain all the following information:
 - assessment and diagnosis by qualified personnel (note: a diagnosis alone is not sufficient to qualify for funding)
 - documentation/assessment of the student's current level of functioning in the learning environment
 - a current IPP
 - identification of the levels of support and services being provided to the student.
 Students claimed for severe disabilities funding must receive three or more levels of support.

- The review team will recommend to the Director of Special Programs Branch that the severe disabilities jurisdiction profile:
 - be increased
 - be decreased, or
 - remain the same.
- The results of the audit will be communicated in writing to the school jurisdiction and School Finance Branch.

Section 2 - Severe Disabilities Categories

Severe Cognitive Disability (Code 41)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with a severe cognitive disability is one who:

- · has severe delays in all or most areas of development
- frequently has other disabilities including physical, sensory, medical and/or physical
- requires constant assistance and/or supervision in all areas of functioning including daily living skills and may require assistive technology
- should have a standardized assessment, which indicates functioning in the severe to
 profound range (standardized score of 30 ± 5 or less). Functional assessments by a
 qualified professional will also be considered in cases where the disabilities of the
 student preclude standard assessments
- has scores equivalent to the severe to profound levels on an adaptive behavioural scale (e.g., AAMR Adaptive Behaviour Scales-School: Second Edition (ABS-S:2);
 Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scales; Scales of Independent Behaviour - Revised).

Questions and Answers

What are the main characteristics of a student who meets the special education coding criteria for a severe cognitive disability?

- The functional level is less than one third of his/her chronological age on a standardized adaptive behaviour scale.
- The student may also:
 - be medically fragile
 - require assistive technology
 - have autistic-like, aberrant behaviours
 - have limited, if any, receptive or expressive language.
- The student's level of dependency requires mostly one-to-one and hand-over-hand assistance to perform tasks for ambulation or daily living and functioning.
- These students usually require extensive supports and services including one-to-one teacher assistant time, assistance with basic care, and additional therapeutic services.
- · It is unlikely that the student will acquire basic numeracy and literacy skills.

What documentation is required to determine the student meets the special education coding criteria?

- The use of adaptive behaviour scales to obtain functional ability scores in the severe to profound range is required. These students are not usually assessable using the WISC-IV or Stanford-Binet V.
- Once an initial diagnosis has been given and the student has a chronic disability that
 has not changed significantly, documentation from the Regional Educational
 Consulting Service providers (REACH, CASE, ERECS, RÉSEAU) or other
 personnel specializing in the field may be sufficient for programming.

What other supporting documentation relevant to the student's disabling condition and programming requirements should be provided?

- Physical therapy reports, occupational therapy reports, speech-language therapy reports, as required.
- · Current relevant medical reports.

For additional information please refer to the case study on pages 9 to 19.

Case Study — Brandon

Background information, description of severe disabling condition(s)	 Brandon is a seventeen-year-old student in a Life Skills Program at Uphill High School. A recent AAMR Adaptive Behaviour Scales School: Second Edition and the Leiter International Performance Scale: Revised, were completed by S. Adams, Registered Psychologist, indicating that Brandon's intellectual functioning and adaptive functioning in January 2005 was less than one third of his chronological age. Brandon is ambulatory, non-verbal and requires ongoing assistance with his personal care.
Current supports/services in place	 Brandon is in a special education class with nine students, a 1.0 FTE teacher and 3.0 FTE teacher assistants. Brandon requires one-on-one assistance for personal care, feeding and communication. In consultation with a speech-language pathologist, a Picture Communication Symbols (PCS) communication board has been developed. Teacher assistant uses the Mayer-Johnson PCS program on a classroom computer to modify instructional materials to Brandon's level. Brandon is receiving consultation support from an occupational therapist to assist with fine motor skills and feculing issues. His family is also accessing support from Family Support for Children with Disabilities and the I CAN Centre at the Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital.
Individualized Program Plan	 Brandon's IPP was developed by the learning team, including his parents. Goals reflect his needs in communication, fine motor skills, personal care and life skills development.



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2008/2009

	PLEASE CHECK CODE
X 41	Severe Cognitive
42	Severe Emotional/Behavioural
43	Severe Multiple
44	Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism
45	Deafness
46	Blindness

OOI Uphill High School			
lent Name Brandon Smith			
erta Student Number (ASN) XXXXXX	XXX		
n date (yy/mm/dd) 90/07/15		Grade Ungraded	
ement (describe) Special education	class		-
,			
Supporting documentation from the a		ional(s) (please attacl	h copies):
Diagnosis	Year of Diagnosis	Professional Cond	ducting Assessment
Intellectual and adaptive functioning: < 1/3 chronological age	January 2005	S. Adams, Registere	d Psychologist
		-	nent?
		-	nent?
Ambulatory, non-verbal and requires as: Services provided in accordance with	sistance with person	al care	ities 2008-2009
Ambulatory, non-verbal and requires as: Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more o	sistance with person	al care	ities 2008-2009
Ambulatory, non-verbal and requires as: Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more oprovided to the student:	the Funding Manu	al care val for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) th	ities 2008-2009
Ambulatory, non-verbal and requires as: Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more oprovided to the student:	the Funding Manu	al care val for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) th	ities 2008-2009 nat are being
Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more oprovided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one	the Funding Manufithe following sup	ral for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) the constant of t	ities 2008-2009 nat are being
Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more oprovided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one X specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and	the Funding Manufithe following sup	al care yal for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) th yallow 2008/2009: 7 (hours per day): (hours per day)	ities 2008-2009 hat are being 1:9 (staff:student ratio
Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more oprovided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one X specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and teacher assistant	the Funding Manufithe following sup	al care val for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) the content of the content	1:9 (staff:student ratio
Ambulatory, non-verbal and requires as: Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more or provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one X specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter	the Funding Manufithe following sup	al care yal for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) th yallow 2008/2009: 7 (hours per day): (hours per day)	1:9 (staff:student ration (staff:student staff:student staff:student staff:student staff:student staff:student (staff:student staff:student staff:studen
Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more oprovided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one X specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and teacher assistant	the Funding Manufithe following sup	al care val for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) the content of the content	1:9 (staff:student ratio
Ambulatory, non-verbal and requires as: Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more or orovided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one X specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter other (specify)	the Funding Manufithe following sup	al care val for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) the content of the content	1:9 (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio
Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more or provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one X specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or assistant	the Funding Manufithe following sup	al care val for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) the content of the content	1:9 (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio
small group work with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or ass	the Funding Manufithe following sup	al care val for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) the second	1:9 (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio
Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more or provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one X specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or assistant	the Funding Manufithe following sup	al care val for School Authori ports from (a) to (e) the content of the content	1:9 (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio

X assistance with persona catheterization g-tube feeding administration of medica other	respiratory therapy management of equipm O&M training	mobility training (wheelchair walker)
Behaviour check Frequ X Medical medica	ency → ☐ hourly ☐ daily	
e.g., Regional Educational Cons Services must be provided to the itinerant teacher special education consults hearing consultant X occupational therapist O&M specialist	for the student at a cost to the syst ulting Service teams: (REACH, CASE, ERECS individual student on a regularly scheduled ba X speech therapist ant ATL consultant registered psychologist physical therapist school liaison worker	RESEAU), Student Health Partnership.
X The current IPP identifies programming to address to X. This IPP has been developed.	(IPP) is in place for 2008/2009: the assessed needs of the student are those needs.	
pports listed in 3 (a-e) are in p	tation to support the claim is availa place, a current IPP is included in the vere disabilities coding criteria.	
September 18, 2008		T. Jones

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: SMITH, Brandon

Date of Birth: 07/15/1990 Age as of Sept. 1/2008: 17

Parents: Mr. and Mrs. John Smith Date I.P.P. Created: September 10, 2008

Address: 1234–56 St **Phone #:** (780) 111-1111

Anywhere, AB T0T 0T0 Eligibility Code: 41

Year of School/Grade: Ungraded special education class

Background information: Classroom context

School: Uphill High School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: Ms. Jones

Additional IPP Team Members: Mr. and Mrs. Smith, parents

Mark Chatty, speech-language pathologist

Jody Helper, teacher assistant Dorothy Pickle, occupational therapist

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Brandon enjoys being around his peers and uses his communication board to exchange greetings. He is familiar with the school building and is able to go from his classroom to the cafeteria with minimal supervision. Brandon continues to need light physical prompts to initiate grooming routines but he responds positively to the assistance. During breaks and lunch, Brandon requires close supervision to ensure that he chews food thoroughly, as he tends to rush when eating. This year, the focus of programming is to prepare Brandon for entry into a supported living situation by mastering functional life skills with the greatest level of independence so that he has a positive transition into an adult setting two years from now.

Strengths

- imitates well
- · likes to make people laugh
- · very social and likes to be around people at school, home and in the community
- · can consistently use 100 Mayer-Johnson graphics to make needs known to others

Areas of Need

- · continue to develop communication skills
- · development of pre-vocational skills
- · develop food preparation skills
- · gain independence performing simple routines

Medical Conditions that Impact Schooling

Brandon is in good health. Any medication he requires is administered at home and not during school hours.

No current medical conditions that impact schooling

Coordinated Support Services

- Jody Helper, teacher assistant, is assigned to work with Brandon and two other students 7 hours/day.
- Mark Chatty, speech-language pathologist, will make a visit at the end of each term to modify Brandon's augmentative communication board and will also support the introduction and trial with four simple voice output communication aids (VOCA).
- Dorothy Pickle, occupational therapist, will observe Brandon in the classroom and during lunchtime and demonstrate basic care intervention techniques to Jody Helper, at the beginning of the first, third and fourth terms.
- None required at this time

Assessment Data (Specialized Assessment Results)

Date	Test	Results
January 2006	AAMR Adaptive Behaviour Scales: Second Edition Leiter International Performance Scale: Revised	adaptive behaviour rating and cognitive ability both scored significantly below his chronological age

Current Level of Performance and Achievement	Year-end Summary
September June 2008 assessments classroom functional communication assessment can consistently use 100 graphic symbols to express basic needs consistently uses "yes" and "no" symbols during choice making activities observation checklist of personal care skills able to consistently maintain two hand grasp on a plastic mug or glass requires hand-over-hand to use a fork, knife and spoon during lunch time with light physical prompting can assist in completing grooming and washroom routines	June
Grade Level of Achievement Reporting I	nformation
☐ Adapted programming (graded curriculum)	
☐ Modified programming (not graded curriculu	m)
If student is on a modified program, indicate relative to each goal category:	category of each goal and achievement level
 foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) 	ns, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor
Goals achieved: all most	□ some □ none □ not applicable
 academic readiness skills (e.g., readin outcomes in the programs of study in Gra Goals achieved:	
 life skills (e.g., skills that will assist the shome, school and community) Goals achieved: all most 	student in developing independence in the
Goals acrileved.	□ some □ none □ not applicable

Long-term Goal: Brandon will be able to communicate choices.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By the end of November, Brandon will make choices in menu planning by pointing to an item in each food group with no errors or duplications/five trials per week.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection	November 30
By February, Brandon will select the program he wants from a list of 10 icons on the computer desktop, with 80% accuracy/four trials per week.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection	February 1
By mid May, Brandon will choose two of five students he wants to work with on four of five occasions/four trials per week.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection	May 15
By the end of June, Brandon will choose four of five students he wants to work with on four of five occasions/four trials per week.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection	June 30

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

- Keep Mayer-Johnson graphics consistent for names of school staff, family members, and labelling items/objects.
- . Use Boardmaker to adapt all curriculum areas and to create work sheets and tests.

Long-term Goal: Brandon will demonstrate a working knowledge of signage commonly found in the community.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By October 30, when presented with a series of eight signs that direct (e.g., push, keep left), Brandon will point to the correct sign when verbally requested on five consecutive days.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection	October 30
By December 15, when presented with a series of 20 warning and safety signs (e.g., emergency exit, poison, no smoking), Brandon will point to the correct sign when verbally requested on four out of five days.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection	December 15
By the end of February, Brandon will be able to match pictorial representations of the 28 signs to signs in the school building, with 20 matches on four consecutive days.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection	February 28
By April 30, when presented with a series of 20 community signs (e.g., mail, restroom, use crosswalk), Brandon will point to the correct sign when verbally requested on five consecutive days.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection	April 30
By June 24, Brandon will demonstrate an understanding of directional safety and community signs by complying with the intent of the signs he encounters on any given day	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection	June 24

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

Use Boardmaker to adapt all curriculum areas and to create work sheets and tests.

Long-term Goal: Brandon will gain independence in simple routines.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By October 30, Brandon will get his own apron and join his cooking group, at each occasion, without prompting.	teacher observation checklist	October 30
By January 30, Brandon will look at his picture schedule and point to what comes next, eight times out of ten trials per day.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist	January 30
By April, Brandon will sequence 10 pictures illustrating preparation for school, nine times out of ten on three consecutive days.	parent observation teacher assistant observation checklist	March 31
By June 30, Brandon will complete his work routine with a maximum of four verbal cues per routine (total compliance/five trials per week)	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklists	June 30

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

- · Requires hand-over-hand assistance to complete personal care routines.
- · Use light, physical prompting to initiate tasks in a small group.
- . Use Boardmaker to adapt all curriculum areas and to create work sheets and tests.

Long-term Goal: Brandon will demonstrate an understanding of food safety and safe handling of kitchen utensils.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By October 30, when given a verbal prompt, Brandon will wash his hands before handling food without resistance each and every time	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist	October 30
By January 30, Brandon will demonstrate hygienic washing of pots and pans, using a rinse cycle and the loading of a dishwasher completing the steps using only his communication board, 12 of 15 times.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist	January 30
By April, Brandon will sequence 10 pictures illustrating preparation for school, nine times out of ten on three consecutive days.	parent observation teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist	April 1
By June 30, Brandon will complete his work routine with a maximum of four verbal cues per routine (total compliance/five trials per week).	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist	June 30

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

- · Requires hand-over-hand assistance to complete personal care routines.
- · Use light, physical prompting to initiate tasks in a small group.
- Keep Mayer-Johnson graphics consistent for names of school staff, family members, and labelling items/objects.
- Use Boardmaker to adapt all curriculum areas and to create work sheets and tests.

Planning for Transition

Mr. & Mrs. Smith are exploring options for community-based living arrangements for Brandon upon completion of high school. Due to the degree of independence required by many of the agencies, the focus of both home and school interventions will be on providing Brandon with the opportunity to master as many basic care routines and skills as possible over the next two years. Both school staff and parents will ensure that all assessments and reports are updated and submitted to the appropriate agencies so that Brandon receives necessary benefits upon completing high school. Brandon uses a static display communication board. To increase his opportunities to communicate with peers, he should be introduced to a simple voice output communication aid that is durable and portable, using the same Mayer-Johnson symbols and board arrangement. This should support him in becoming more confident and independent when initiating contact with friends and peers.

Additional Information

Arrange for trials of four simple VOCAs from the I CAN Centre, Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital.

Signatures

I understand and agree with the information contained in this Individualized Program Plan.

Mr. & Mrs. Smith

September 12, 2008

Parents

Date

Ms. Jones

September 12, 2008 Date

IPP Coordinator/Teacher

Ms. I.M. Busy
Principal

September 12, 2008

Date

Severe Emotional/Behavioural Disability (Code 42)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with a severe emotional/behavioural disorder is one who:

- displays chronic, extreme and pervasive behaviours, which require close and
 constant adult supervision, high levels of structure, and other intensive support
 services in order to function in an educational setting. The behaviours significantly
 interfere with both the learning and safety of the student and other students. For
 example, the student could be dangerously aggressive and destructive (to self
 and/or others), violent and/or extremely compulsive; and
- has a diagnosis including conduct disorder, schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, obsessive/compulsive disorders, or severe chronic clinical depression; and may display self-stimulation or self-injurious behaviour. In the most extreme and pervasive instances, severe oppositional defiant disorder may qualify.

A clinical diagnosis within the last 2 years of a severe emotional/behavioural disorder by a psychiatrist, registered psychologist or a developmental pediatrician is required, in addition to extensive documentation by school authorities on the nature, frequency and severity of the disorder. The effects of the disability on the student's functioning in an education setting should be described. An ongoing treatment plan/behaviour plan should be available and efforts should be made to ensure that the student has access to appropriate mental health and therapeutic services.

A clinical diagnosis of a severe emotional/behavioural disorder is not necessarily sufficient to qualify under this category. Some diagnoses with behavioural components that are not sufficient to qualify are: attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), attention deficit disorder (ADD).

Note: Students diagnosed with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD), in the most severe cases, should be reported under Code 44 rather than Code 42.

Questions and Answers

What documentation is required to determine students meet the severe emotional/behavioural disability coding criteria?

- Documentation in the student's file should include the following type of information:
 - a behaviour assessment report that includes a specific clinical diagnosis
 - anecdotal notes that describe the nature, frequency and severity of the aberrant behaviour and the impact on learning
 - behaviour plan.
- The clinical diagnosis of a severe emotional/behavioural disability is made by a psychiatrist or registered psychologist.
- The diagnosis of a severe emotional/behavioural disability is summative and involves a range of measures and indicators, including standardized assessments, clinical and medical history, anecdotal records, observation, and interviews with students, parents and school staff.

What type of information may be included in a behaviour assessment report?

- The type of information typically found in a behaviour assessment report includes:
 - assessment/diagnostic information that clarifies and documents history which may have precipitated the current behaviours
 - recent medical history noting any medication that modifies the student's behaviour and further assessments/follow-up appointments
 - interviews/surveys (e.g., Behaviour Assessment System for Children, Second Edition (BASC-2) or Conners Rating Scales-Revised, filled out by parents, teacher(s), and student, if appropriate), that outline the concerns with the student's behaviour
 - documentation as to the nature, frequency and severity of the specific behaviour and steps taken so far to mitigate it
 - observations and assessment results from the psychiatrist/registered psychologist making the clinical diagnosis.

How much detail does Alberta Education require in the anecdotal documentation for students with severe emotional/behavioural disabilities? What is required?

- Alberta Education needs to know the specific behaviours the student exhibits that
 are extreme, pervasive and impact the educational setting. For example, what does
 a typical week look like?
- To document this, you could prepare a chart (see example on p. 24) that shows
 what the student's actual behaviours are and the frequency of these behaviours over
 a period of time.
- The information gathered from checklists and anecdotal notes should assist in programming for each student and serve a functional purpose.
- The information should be summarized in point form.
- You may want to consider adding time of day to the chart in order to see if the student functions better in the morning or afternoon. This will help to determine what preventative strategies you need to put into place or what changes to routines or direct teaching of social or adaptive skills would benefit the student.

How can I demonstrate that the student's behaviour is severe?

- A concise summary of anecdotal notes and checklists that describe the nature, frequency and severity of the student's behaviour at the time she or he was identified by the school authority, in addition to the behaviour assessment report,
- Documentation that describes the nature, frequency and intensity of the interventions that are needed to maintain appropriate student behaviour.
- Summary of behaviours and results of school interventions required to maintain or improve appropriate behaviours.

The student's behaviour has improved significantly with all the programming supports that are in place. Will the student still meet criteria?

The student may continue to meet the criteria, provided that appropriate behaviours
are maintained only because of the level and degree of supports and programming
aligned with an appropriate diagnosis. This information should be recorded in the
IPP.

Should the teacher/parent communication book and/or the teacher's daily checklist be included in the package for a student?

 Communication books and daily checklists are useful for sharing information with parents and tracking behavioural incidents, but they do not usually provide sufficient descriptive information.

For additional information, please refer to the case study on pages 23 to 33.

Case Study — Harley

Background information, description of severe disabling condition(s)

- Harley is a fifteen-year-old boy in Grade 10 at Dry Creek High School.
- Harley currently resides in a foster home near the school.
- Harley was diagnosed as having bipolar disorder by Dr. Bunton in July 2006.
- Harley is currently under the care of Dr. Panwhar, psychiatrist, who has prescribed medication to help control Harley's episodes.
- Some of the features of Harley's behaviours that impact his learning at school include:
 - truancy
 - failure to complete school assignments
 - anxiety attacks
 - depression
 - mood swings, manic episodes (e.g., grandiose talk, agitation, withdrawal)
 - extreme withdrawal, no peer relations, unresponsive, constant crying
 - self-injurious behaviour.

Current supports/services in place

- Harley is in a regular Grade 10 program. He has a teacher assistant for three hours each day for one-onone support.
- He receives one-on-one counsellor support for one half-hour each day from the school counsellor, who also is trained in management of emotional and behavioural disorders.
- A special education consultant works with the teachers and educational assistant on a monthly basis to review and revise programming strategies.
- The school counsellor has regular contact with Harley's psychiatrist, who also is part of Harley's support team.
- · Harley meets with his psychiatrist monthly.
- Harley also has regular meetings with the social worker in charge of his case.

Individualized Program Plan

- Harley's IPP was developed by the learning team, in consultation with his legal guardian, psychiatrist, special education consultant and social worker.
- Harley's overall program focuses on helping him cope with social, emotional and academic needs.
- The major behaviour management goals identified from the behaviour chart completed during September 2008 are:
 - teaching self-regulation strategies
 - increasing organizational skills and reducing offtask behaviours
 - learning coping skills for anxiety attacks.

Sample Behaviour Chart

NAME: Harley MONTH: September 2008

8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Frequenc Tally
1	1	x	1	1	w	w	×	1	1	1	1	8/10
1	1		1	1					1	1	1	7/10
1	1		1	1				1	1	1	1	8/10
1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1	1	9/10
1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	10/10
1	1	1	1	1	10.3	11 21	1	1	1	1	1	10/10
	v v v v		 X X<				 X X<	<pre></pre>		 X X<	 X X<	 X X<

The tallies of this chart inform the goals of Harley's IPP. For example, the high frequency of Harley's feelings of anxiety suggest that reduction in this area is a priority goal. From consultation with the counsellor, it became clear that Harley's anxiety is tied to working with peers. Therefore, it was deemed appropriate to monitor his frequency of attendance and consistency in taking his medications, which help to stabilize his mood. Further, more specific checklists would be developed to monitor each of the objectives related to Harley's long term goals.



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2008/2009

Studen	TICI ucation t Monitoring Form Disabilities Fundi 009	X 42 S 43 S 44 S 45 D	PLEASE CHECK CO evere Cognitive levere Emotional/Behavi levere Multiple levere Physical or Medical deafness	oural
School Aut	hority ABC Authority			
	Day Creek High School			
Student Na	me Harley			
Alberta Stu	dent Number (ASN) XXXXX	XXXX		
Birth date (yy/mm/dd) 92/03/17		Grade 10	
Placement	(describe) Regular Grade 10) program		
I. Suppor	ting documentation from the	appropriate profess	sional(s) (please attach	copies):
	Diagnosis	Year of Diagnosis	Professional Condu	
Bipolar	disorder	July 2007	Dr. Bunton	
	Additional assess es the condition/disability in wings, extreme withdrawal, no	pact the student in		

- 3. Services provided in accordance with the School Year. Identify three or more of the provided to the student:
 - a) Frequent specialized one-on-one interv

small group work with teacher and/or teacher assistant (hours per day) (staff:student ratio) X teacher assistant 3 (hours per day); 1:1 (staff:student ratio)
X teacher assistant 3 (hours per day): 1:1 (staff-student ratio)
Todorior dociotaria
interpreter (hours per day); (staff:student ratio)
other (specify)

b) Specialized equipment and/or assistive devices provided during 2009/2000:

b) Specialized equ	ilpment and/or assistive	devices provided during 2006/2009.
communication d	evices	OT/PT equipment
assistive technological	ogy/devices	specialized furniture
sound field ampli	fication	personal FM system
X other (specify)	Blackberry	X specialized software

	September 18, 2008		B. Moore
uppo	orts listed in 3 (a-e) are in place, a nt meets the 2008/2009 severe di	a current IPP is included in the s	student's file, and the
	ARATION ant assessment documentation t	to support the claim is available	at least three of five
>	This IPP has been developed, in parent/guardian.	nplemented and signed by the lear	rning team, including the
>	programming to address those n		
. In	dividualized Program Plan (IPP)	is in place for 2008/2009:	
	other		
	O&M specialist	school liaison worker	X school counsellor ½ hr/day;
F	occupational therapist	physical therapist	behaviour specialist
>	special education consultant hearing consultant	ATL consultant registered psychologist	nursing services audiologist
	itinerant teacher	speech therapist	vision consultant
	e.g., Regional Educational Consulting	ne student <u>at a cost to the syste</u> Service teams: (REACH, CASE, ERECS, fi idual student on a regularly scheduled basi	RESEAU), Student Health Partnership.
	Frequency •	hourly X daily	weekly monthly
	X Medical X medical logs	medical emergency plan	X other Monitor personal care
	Frequency •	hourly X daily	weekly monthly
	X Behaviour X checklist	anecdotal notes behaviour p	
_	u) Trequent documentation of t	enavioural and/or medical state	13 during 2000/2003.
_	d) Frequent documentation of b	pohavioural and/or modical statu	
É	other	Call training	walker)
X	g-tube feeding administration of medication	management of equipment O&M training	OT/PT therapy mobility training (wheelchair
	- A. b for - dim -		OT/DT the service
-	catheterization	respiratory therapy	oxygen administration

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: JONES, Harley Edward

Date of Birth: 03/17/1993 Age as of Sept. 1/2008: 15

Parents: Susan and Henry Smith Date I.P.P. Created: September 10, 2008

Address: R.R. 3 Phone #: (403) 111-1111

Rural Alberta, AB T0T 0T0 Eligibility Code: 42

Year of School/Grade: Grade 10

Background information: Classroom context

School: Dry Creek High School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher:

Additional IPP Team Members: Harley Jones, student

Susan and Henry Smith, guardians Dr. Jabir Panwhar, psychiatrist Jane Glass, school counsellor Mike Cardinal, teacher assistant

Judy Cook, special education consultant

Brenda Lively, vice-principal

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Harley continues to adjust to living with the diagnosis of bipolar disorder. It is critical that all teachers maintain regular and consistent communication with his guardians, either by telephone or e-mail. General strategies for supporting Harley's social interactions with staff and peers have been distributed and should be reviewed by staff on a regular basis. Harley has begun to experience more intense fine motor tremors, a side effect of the medication. For this reason, he will continue Read and Write Gold to assist with completing written activities and his Blackberry for tracking assignments and recording mood chart information.

Strengths			
interested in theatre	set design		
 enjoys watching spor 	ts		
Areas of Need			
	self-regulation strategies		
amproving social into	ractions with peers and tea	Mileto	
Medical Conditions Schooling	that Impact	Coordinated	Support Services
is to be taken before lund of the teacher assistant. are to be recorded on a consistency psychiatrist at the end of take medication should be guardians notified immediations occur. Informatical inform	The time and dosages daily basis and sent to his each month. Refusals to be recorded and his diately when these ation sheets explaining side effects of medication all his teachers and who observe possible dication are requested to be immediately.	Harley in un complete his teacher assi special educ teachers wit adapt instruction Harley meet quarterly me	ellor support, 30 min/day, to assist derstanding his diagnosis and to shehaviour chart istant support 3 hours/day eation consultant to assist the developing programming and ctional strategies as monthly with his psychiatrist eetings with his social worker thired at this time
Assessment Data (Specialized Assessm	nent Results)	
Date	Test		Results
November 2006	psycho-eduction assessment	ational	Harley was unable to complete the assessment.

educational and functional behavioural assessments

consult with Dr. Panwhar to

determine the optimal time for these assessments to

take place.

Current Level of Performance and Achievement	Year-end Summary	
September Note: Referral has been made for achievement testing to support development of academic II goals/objectives.		
Grade Level of Achievement Report	ting Information	
Adapted programming (graded curricu		
■ Modified programming (not graded cur		
If student is on a modified program, in- relative to each goal category:	dicate category of each goal and achievement le	vel
 foundational skills (e.g., commun skills) 	nications, classroom behaviour, gross and fine mo	otor
Goals achieved: all ar	most \square some \square none \square not applicable	е
outcomes in the programs of study	readiness skills to prepare student for learning in Grade 1 and subsequent grade levels) most some none not applicable	
		6
 Inte skills (e.g., skills triat will assis home, school and community) 	st the student in developing independence in the	
	most 🗆 some 🗖 none 🗖 not applicabl	е

Long-term Goal: Harley will independently identify situations that make him anxious and demonstrate strategies to reduce his anxiety.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
When asked by the counsellor, Harley will be able to verbally describe two types of classroom situations that make him anxious on four of five days and record this information on his behaviour chart.	counsellor, teachers and teacher assistant will record and chart incidents to identify patterns related to the onset of feelings of anxiety.	
By December 20, Harley will be able to describe three actions he can take to reduce his anxiety in classroom situations and successfully demonstrate these actions in four instances, for five consecutive days.	 teacher, teacher assistant and counsellor observation daily checklist 	
By February 28, Harley will be able to respond in a positive manner to potentially anxiety-producing situations for ten consecutive days.	Teacher, teacher assistant and counsellor observations daily checklist	
When asked by the counsellor, Harley will independently complete his mood chart with 100% accuracy at the end of each morning.	counsellor observation	

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

- Reduced course load and attend classes only in the morning.
- · Afternoon work experience with local theatre company in the carpentry department.
- Allow additional time for tests/examinations.
- Allow student to write in a small group setting, with teacher assistant supervision, to reduce performance anxiety.
- · Modify classroom and homework assignments according to fluctuations in his emotional status.
- Use Excel spreadsheet to complete daily mood chart record.

Long-term Goal: Harley will work cooperatively with peers in the classroom.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By October, Harley will work cooperatively with a teacher- selected partner and complete assigned tasks four out of six periods, within the time allocated and with teacher assistant supervision, in each class.	teacher/teacher assistant observations of process and number of completed assignments	
By February 28, when given a cooperative small-group assignment (teacher-selected peers), Harley will work cooperatively and complete assigned tasks 85% of the time with teacher assistant supervision.	teacher/teacher assistant observations of process and number of completed assignments	
By May, when given the free choice of working with a partner, Harley will work cooperatively and complete assigned tasks three times out of four. The teacher/teacher assistant observations and number of completed assignments	teacher/teacher assistant observations of process and number of completed assignments	

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

- · Afternoon work experience with local theatre company in the carpentry department.
- Extra set of text books at home.
- Permit and encourage use of the Read and Write Gold to support note taking and assignment completion.
- · Use Blackberry for recording assignments and scheduling timelines for completing projects.

Planning for Transition

- · Course selection and timetabling for second semester will be finalized in November.
- Arrangements will be made for a home-bound teacher to provide services either at home or in the hospital, should Harley be unable to attend school for periods of time this year.
- The counsellor will arrange for three case conferences to be held this school year involving the learning team and Harley's psychiatrist and social worker.
- The counsellor will review the purpose and importance of the behaviour chart with teachers and the teacher assistant.
- The counsellor and Harley will determine if and when they will do a short presentation to his peers and teachers regarding the impact of his illness on his learning and social interactions.

Additional Information	
Signatures	
	on contained in this Individualized Program Plan.
Mar C Mary Constitu	Contombox 42, 2000
Mr. & Mrs. Smith Parents	September 12, 2008 Date

Summary of Behaviour Anecdotal Notes-September 28, 2008

Student: Harley Edward Jones School: Dry Creek High School

Grade: 10 Teacher: Jane Glass, Counsellor

Priority presenting behaviour(s):

What are the behaviours?

Describe what they look like in the educational environment. During the month of September, Harley exhibited several behaviours at school which caused concern for staff. These included being truant from school, failing to complete classroom assignments and homework, and rapid changes in mood. The most significant area of concern is the increase in the number and frequency of anxiety attacks Harley has had since school began earlier this month. Harley describes he has racing thoughts during his anxiety attacks. His teachers have observed that during his anxiety attacks, he talks excessively and that it is very difficult to follow his conversation because he moves so rapidly between topics he appears incoherent. He becomes so agitated that he cries in front of his classmates and then physically lashes out at them when they comment about his show of emotion. These aspects of his behaviour are of most concern because of the impact they have on his peer interactions and ability to participate in class work.

Frequency of priority behaviour(s):

Give the number of intense attacks.

Describe chronic episodes.

Since September 2, Harley has reported that he has experienced approximately 20 intense anxiety attacks while at school. His behaviour chart indicates that he is in an almost constant state of anxiety. A review of his behaviour chart shows that he is feeling anxious, restless or agitated for almost the entire school day. This information was collected by Harley and collated with the assistance of the school counsellor. From September 4 to 15, he reported a total of 20 anxiety attacks, which were evenly distributed between morning and afternoon.

Impact of the priority behaviour(s):

What happens as a direct result of these behaviours?

During five of the anxiety attacks, which occurred during two morning breaks, two morning classes and one afternoon class, Harley got into physical fights with peers. These incidents resulted in two 2-day suspensions and one 5-day suspension for fighting for both Harley and the other students. During the third incident, Harley sustained a severe blow to his head and was taken by ambulance to the local emergency room. After each of these incidents, Harley shared that he was "worthless" and "would not survive to finish school." He is often reported to appear sad and no longer wants to be around other students.

Plan and supports to address the priority behaviour(s):

Who is involved?

What are the supports and how do they relate to a plan?

School staff, parents, and Harley met to develop a plan to support him at school. It was decided that he would meet with the school counsellor every day for 30 minutes. As well, to build on his interest in theatrical set design, a work experience placement at the local theatre has been arranged. A teacher assistant will accompany him to his placement during the afternoons. The number of courses he will take each semester has been altered to accommodate this change. This plan will be reviewed in mid-October to determine if changes or alternations are required to his IPP.

Severe Multiple Disability (Code 43)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with multiple disabilities is one who:

- has two or more non-associated moderate to severe cognitive and/or physical disabilities which, in combination, result in the student functioning at a severe to profound level; and
- requires significant special programming, resources and/or therapeutic services.

Students with a severe disability and another associated disability should be identified under the category of the primary severe disability. For example:

- A student with a severe cognitive disability and another associated disability is not designated under this category, but is designated under severe cognitive disability.
- A student with a severe emotional/behavioural disability and another associated disability is not designated under this category, but is designated under severe emotional/behavioural disability.

The following mild or moderate disabilities cannot be used in combination with other disabilities to qualify under Code 43:

- attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) attention deficit disorder (ADD)
- · emotional/behavioural disabilities
- learning disability (LD)
- · speech and language-related delays.

Questions and Answers

What are the main characteristics of a student who meets the special education coding criteria for severe multiple disability?

A student with a severe multiple disability may exhibit two or more of the following:

- moderate cognitive disability (standardized score of 30 50 ± 5)
- severe cognitive disability (standardized score of 30 + 5 or less)
- bilateral hearing loss in the moderate to severe range; average of 56 70 decibels over 500 – 4000 Hz in the better ear reported by the appropriate specialist
- visual impairment that is moderate to severe (20/100 in the better eye), but not legally blind, degeneration prognosis reported by the appropriate specialist
- moderate to severe autistic-like behaviour
- moderate to severe physical disability or medical condition that interferes with learning.

NOTE: Low cognitive ability in the mild/moderate cognitive disability ranges combined with severe receptive/expressive language deficits does not qualify.

What documentation is required to meet the coding criteria?

- Diagnoses by professionals for each of the two or more non-associated disabling conditions. This may include reports from registered psychologists, audiologists, ophthalmologists and medical professionals.
- · Current documentation should be in the student's file.
- If a student has two or more non-associated disabilities that have not changed significantly, a current functional assessment from Regional Educational Consulting Service teams (REACH, CASE, Edmonton Regional Educational Consulting Services, RÉSEAU), Student Health Partnership, school authority specialists or other contracted consulting agencies may be sufficient.
- A diagnosis of each of the disabling conditions is required but not necessarily sufficient to qualify. Eligibility depends on the student's current level of functioning within the learning environment.

What other supporting documentation from a school, relevant to the student's disabling conditions and programming requirements, may be included with the student package for monitoring by Alberta Education?

- physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech-language therapy reports
- · vision and/or hearing consultant reports
- · current and relevant medical reports
- additional documentation, including anecdotal information, reflecting the student's needs in the learning environment.

For additional information please refer to the case study on pages 36 to 45.

Case Study - Amina

Amina is an eight-year-old student currently in Grade 3 Background at Caldwell School. information, Dr. Brown diagnosed Amina in 2005 as having description of severe Kabuki make-up syndrome and moderate cognitive disabling condition(s) delay. In November 2007, she was diagnosed with a moderate hearing loss by R. Dean, audiologist. She presents with generalized low muscle tone. decreased physical strength, delays in gross and fine motor development, poor social skills and a moderate bilateral hearing loss. The occupational therapist and physical therapist both report Amina's need for assistance with toileting. dressing, and feeding and for constant supervision, as she has a danger of falling, especially on the stairs. Amina currently receives individual support 4 hours a Current day from a part-time teacher assistant and 30 minutes supports/services in a day in a small group from a teacher assistant who is place assigned to the classroom. Amina receives small group instruction for mathematics and pro-social skills. She also receives ongoing individual assistance to maintain attention to task and to complete all tasks in the classroom environment. Amina requires assistance with dressing and in the washroom. She receives stand-by assistance for all transitions and walking the stairs. Amina receives individual assistance at lunch and recess times. Amina requires an augmentative/alternative communication system and has been referred for assessment at the I Can Centre, Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital. Amina's IPP was developed in consultation with her **Individualized Program** learning team, including her parents, the speech-Plan language pathologist, occupational therapist, physical therapist, behaviour specialist and hearing consultant. The goals of Amina's education program address her needs, result from the combination of disabling conditions, and are reflected in the classroom accommodations and level of supervision.



Student Monitoring Form

	PLEASE CHECK CODE
41	Severe Cognitive
42	Severe Emotional/Behavioural
× 43	Severe Multiple
44	Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism
45	Deafness
46	Blindness

Severe Disabilities Fund 2008/2009	ling 📗 🗀 45 D	eafness lindness	ical, including Addism
School Authority ABC Authority			
School Caldwell School			
Student Name Amina			
	/VVVV		
	XXXXX		
Birth date (yy/mm/dd) 99/08/30		Grade 3	
Placement (describe) Regular Grade	3 classroom		
1. Supporting documentation from th	e appropriate profess	ional(s) (please atta	ch copies):
Diagnosis	Year of Diagnosis	Professional Co	nducting Assessment
Kabuki make-up syndrome	December 2005	Dr. Brown	
Moderate cognitive disability	December 2005	Dr. Brown	
Moderate bilateral hearing loss	November 2005	R. Dean (Audiologi	st)
2. How does the condition/disability in Amina has generalized low muscle to and a mild to moderate hearing loss. classroom and specialized activities, assistance with dressing and personal	one, delays in gross and She frequently loses h such as PE, as she is a	I fine motor developm er balance, which lim	nent, poor social skills lits her participation in
 Services provided in accordance w School Year. Identify three or more provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-or specialized setting with teacher 	e of the following sup	ports from (a) to (e)	that are being
X small group work with teacher a	nd/or teacher assistant		1:4 (staff:student ratio)
X teacher assistant		4.5 (hours per day);	
interpreter		(hours per day);	(staff:student ratio)
other (specify)			
b) Specialized equipment and/o	r assistive devices pr	ovided during 2008/	2009:
communication devices		OT/PT aguinman	*
assistive technology/devices		OT/PT equipmen specialized furniti	
sound field amplification		X personal FM syst	

other (specify)

specialized software

X assistance with personal hygies catheterization g-tube feeding administration of medication other	X respiratory therapy X management of equipment O&M training	mobility training (wheelcha walker
d) Frequent documentation of Behaviour checklist Frequency X Medical X medical logs Frequency	anecdotal notes ☐ behavior hourly ☐ daily Xmedical emergency plan	ur plan other monthly other monthly weekly monthly
e.g., Regional Educational Consulting	the student at a cost to the system of service teams: (REACH, CASE, EREC vidual student on a regularly scheduled by the system of services and student on a regularly scheduled by the system of services and services are strongly as the student of services and services are strongly as the system of services are strongly as the sys	stem provided during 2008/2009: S, RESEAU), Student Health Partnership. pasis. vision consultant nursing services audiologist behaviour specialist school counsellor
Individualized Program Plan (IPP) X The current IPP identifies the a programming to address those X This IPP has been developed,	ssessed needs of the student an	
parent/guardian. CLARATION evant assessment documentation ports listed in 3 (a-e) are in place,	to support the claim is availab	ole, at least three of five
September 25, 2008		

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: KHAN, Amina

Date of Birth: 08/30/2000 Age as of Sept. 1/2008: 8

Parents: Amy and John Khan Date I.P.P. Created: September 15, 2008

Address: 567-10 Avenue Phone #: (780) 111-1111

Big City, AB T9T 9T9 Eligibility Code: 43

Year of School/Grade: 3

Background information: Classroom context

School: Caldwell School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: Donna Major Additional IPP Team Members: Amy and John Khan, parents

Angel Young, Special education consultant/IPP coordinator

Pat White/John Gregory, teacher assistants

Michael Hall, speech-language pathologist/audiologist

Mary Smart, occupational therapist

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Amina is a happy individual who is shy around peers. She has had limited opportunity to interact with age peers, preferring to spend time in the company of older students and adults. Her parents are concerned and have agreed to work with the learning team to have Amina become involved with age peers outside of school through swimming and horse back riding lessons. Mr. and Mrs. Khan found the communication book useful last year and would like that method of information sharing to continue this year. Mary Smart, occupational therapist, is concerned about Amina's difficulty maintaining her balance and has referred her to the seating clinic for assessment and input regarding the purchase of a power scooter that could be used on the playground, school field trips and in the community.

St	rengths
•	desire to
•	coopera

- o please ates with teacher assistant to complete transfers during personal care routines
- enjoys using the computer
- Areas of Need
- communication
- social skills
- mathematics concept and skill development

Medical Conditions That Impact Schooling	Coordinated Support Services
No current medical conditions that impact schooling	 teacher assistant support 4.5 hours/day RECS: hearing consultant, occupational therapist, speech therapist, physical therapist, behaviour specialist special education consultant None required at this time

Assessment Data (Specialized Assessment Results)

Date	Test	Results
November 2006	Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale Test of Non-verbal Intelligence, Third Edition (TONI-3)	Reports affirm cognitive functioning in the moderate range.

	rrent Level of Performance and hievement	Year-end Summary
Sep	y 2008 teacher observation and checklists Amina enjoys sharing her lunch hours with the teacher assistants; however, she is shy around age peers. Peer interaction is developing slowly and Amina continues to benefit from having social interactions mediated by the teacher assistants. Amina's motivation to read increased during	June
	the year as she modelled the actions of her Grade 6 reading buddy. Amina's self help skills have improved and she is able to eat and drink independently. Due to fine motor challenges, Amina has begun to use word prediction software and story starter templates to write stories. She has responded positively to the use of the computer and can now write a four sentence story.	
Gr	ade Level of Achievement Reporting	Information
	Adapted programming (graded curriculum	
	Modified programming (not graded curricu	
	If student is on a modified program, indicated relative to each goal category:	ate category of each goal and achievement level
	 foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) 	ons, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor
	Goals achieved: all most	☐ some ☐ none ☐ not applicable
	outcomes in the programs of study in C	
	Goals achieved: all most	- como - mono - monappinoano
	 life skills (e.g., skills that will assist the home, school and community) 	e student in developing independence in the
	Goals achieved: all most	□ some □ none □ not applicable

Long-term Goal: Amina will maximize her residual hearing through amplification (hearing aids and FM systems).

Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
teacher/teacher assistant observations recorded on checklist	
teacher/teacher assistant observations recorded on checklist	
	teacher/teacher assistant observations recorded on checklist teacher/teacher assistant observations recorded on

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

 Encourage and model correct articulation of new vocabulary and facilitate carry-over speech skills into everyday speech in the classroom.

Long-term Goal: Amina will play and work appropriately with her peers.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By October, Amina will, verbally and in actions, show pride in her school work 80% of the time.	teacher/teacher assistant observation sociogram anecdotal notes	
By the end of November, Amina will demonstrate appropriate classroom manners 80% of the time.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist based on classroom rules	
By mid January, Amina will make at least three positive comments during a 15 minute classroom discussion on five of seven occasions.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist	
By June 30, Amina will make two positive comments about her peers when she works in a small group on three of four occasions.	teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist anecdotal notes sociogram	

- Schedule teacher assistants to provide direct supervision when Amina is in the hallways, physical
 education class and on the playground.
- Increase use of computer software to support development of social problem-solving skills.
- · Encourage and model age-appropriate social interactions using spoken language.

Long-term Goal: Amina will demonstrate awareness of addition and subtraction.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By November 30, Amina will use manipulatives to add and subtract whole numbers where the maximum sum is 9, using a place value chart, with 80% accuracy on ten problems.	 teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist teacher made tests 	
By the end of February, Amina will use manipulatives and a place value chart to add and subtract whole numbers to 12, with 80% accuracy on ten problems.	 teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist teacher made tests 	
By April 30, Amina will use diagrams and symbols to demonstrate the process of addition and subtraction of whole numbers, where the maximum sum is 9, with 80% accuracy on ten problems.	 teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist teacher made tests 	
By the end of June, Amina will use diagrams and symbols to demonstrate the process of addition and subtraction of whole numbers, where the maximum sum is 12, with 80% accuracy on ten problems.	 teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist teacher made tests 	

- Provide instruction in a variety of ways (hands-on, visual, multi-sensory).
- Provide manipulatives and models during all mathematics classes.
- Have well-lighted teaching/small group work areas.
- Individualize all tests and allow extra time.

Planning for Transition

- Angel Young will arrange for three case conferences during the year to involve all learning team members to review Amina's IPP goals and objectives as well as updating any assessment information that becomes available during the school year.
- Mr. and Mrs. Khan would like to visit a district senior elementary special education class to determine if that might be a more appropriate placement in which Amina could receive more focused instruction in sign language.
- Angel Young and Donna Major will arrange for assessment and consultation service referrals to be made in January 2009 for functional assessments to assist with programming for 2009/20010.

Addifonal Information	
Signatures	
understand and agree with the information co	ontained in this Individualized Program Plan.
	September 12, 2008 Date
Amy Khan John Khan Parerts IPP Coordinator/Teacher	

Severe Physical or Medical Disability (Code 44)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with a severe physical, medical or neurological disability is one who:

- a) has a medical diagnosis of a physical disability, specific neurological disorder or medical condition which creates a significant impact on the student's ability to function in the school environment (note: some physical or medical disabilities have little or no impact upon the student's ability to function in the school environment);
 and
- requires extensive adult assistance and modifications to the education environment in order to benefit from schooling.

A student with severe autism (or other severe pervasive developmental disorder) is included in this category. A clinical diagnosis by a psychiatrist, registered psychologist, or medical professional specializing in the field of autism is required. A clinical diagnosis of autism is not necessarily sufficient to qualify under this category. Eligibility is determined by the functioning level of the student with autism.

In order for a diagnosis of autism to be made, the student needs to demonstrate impairment in the following areas:

- · social interaction and
- · communication, and
- exhibit stereotyped pattern of behaviour (e.g., hand flapping, body rocking, echolalia, insistence on sameness and resistance to change).

A student diagnosed with severe fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) may have fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) or alcohol-related neurodevelopmental disorder (ARND) and is included in this category. A clinical diagnosis by a psychiatrist, registered psychologist with specialized training, or medical professional specializing in developmental disorders is required. A clinical diagnosis of FASD is not necessarily sufficient to qualify under this category. Eligibility is determined by the functioning level of the student with FASD. Students with severe FASD who exhibit significant impairment in the areas of social functioning, life skills, behaviour, learning, attention and concentration will need extensive intervention and support.

Questions and Answers

What documentation is required to determine students meet the special education coding criteria for severe physical or medical disability?

- A medical diagnosis of a physical, medical or neurological disability dated within the last three years.
- Once it is established by the school authority that the student has a chronic disability
 that has not changed significantly, a current functional assessment that
 demonstrates the impact of the student's disability while at school may be sufficient.
 Such functional assessments may be obtained from one of the Regional Educational
 Consulting Service providers (REACH, CASE, Edmonton Regional Educational
 Consulting Services, RÉSEAU) or other personnel specializing in the field.

What are some examples of severe medical or physical disabilities?

Students who are diagnosed with the following may meet the criteria for Code 44, depending upon the severity of the impact in the educational setting:

- Tourette syndrome
- cerebral palsy
- · fetal alcohol spectrum disorder
- · autism spectrum disorder
- brain injury
- cancer

What documentation is required to confirm a severe autism spectrum disorder?

- A clinical diagnosis by a psychiatrist, registered psychologist, or medical professional specializing in the field of autism.
- A functional assessment and anecdotal information that documents such aspects of the instructional environment as setting, instructional context and interpersonal factors that may affect the student's performance, as well as the strengths and needs of the individual student. Individuals with ASD may demonstrate difficulties with:
 - processing verbal and abstract information
 - regulating attention
 - generalizing
 - motor planning
 - transitioning between activities, settings and individuals
 - accommodating some forms of sensory experience
 - organizing and self-regulating.

What documentation is required to confirm a severe fetal alcohol spectrum disorder?

- A clinical diagnosis by a medical professional or diagnostic medical team specializing in the field of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders.
- A functional assessment and anecdotal information that documents such aspects of the instructional environment as setting, instructional context and interpersonal factors that may affect the student's performance, as well as the strengths and needs of the individual student. Individuals with FASD may demonstrate difficulties with:
 - academic learning
 - memory in the areas of short term recall and long term retrieval of information and directions
 - language such as interpreting figurative language and social situations, and producing complex sentence structures in speech and written language
 - developing complex, abstract thinking and reasoning
 - maintaining appropriate attention and focus
 - adaptive skills and social emotional functioning, which are be delayed relative to chronological age peers

What other supporting documentation relevant to the student's disabling condition and programming requirements may be included with the student package for monitoring by Alberta Education?

- Cognitive assessment reports, speech-language therapy reports, occupational therapy reports, physical therapy reports (as required)
- · Any current, relevant medical reports

For additional information, please refer to the case study on pages 49 to 59.

Case Study — Cheng

Background information, description of severe disabling condition(s)	 Cheng is a twelve-year-old student in Grade 6. Dr. Gold, psychiatrist, ABS Hospital, diagnosed Cheng with severe autistic disorder in November 2000. Cheng has extreme difficulties with: social interaction and with peers expressive/receptive communication Cheng exhibits stereotypic behaviours, specifically hand-flapping and pulling his hair. He is easily upset when not prepared for changes in routine/transitions. Cheng uses some picture communication symbols to enhance communication (expressive and receptive). Cheng requires assistance to develop more appropriate social interactions with peers and adults.
Current supports/services in place	 Cheng receives support for language arts and math, in a small group work setting (with 2 other students) for 2 hours daily. Cheng's teacher has 5 hours of Educational Assistant/Teacher Assistant support for the development of academics in the classroom setting. teacher assistant supervision is provided before school and during recess and lunch. Cheng's learning team monitors and records his behaviours daily, which include: initiated social interactions use of oral communication and communication board temper outbursts obsessive-compulsive behaviours. Members of the RECS team provide on-going behaviour communication consultative support. Cheng's teachers and staff meet bi-monthly with his parents and home support worker to review his program.
Individualized Program Plan	 Cheng's IPP was developed with his learning team, including his parents, RECS consultants and school staff. His IPP reflects Cheng's need for routine and identifies goals for communication, social/behaviour and academic progress.



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2008/2009

	PLEASE CHECK CODE
41	Severe Cognitive
42	Severe Emotional/Behavioural
43	Severe Multiple
× 44	Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism
45	Deafness
46	Blindness

School Authority	GHI Authorit	у			
School Valley Sch	ool				
Student Name Che	eng				
Alberta Student Nun	nber (ASN)	XXXXXXXX			
Birth date (yy/mm/de	d) 95/12/	16	Grade	6	
Placement (describe) Regular	grade classroom – 20 students			

1. Supporting documentation from the appropriate professional(s) (please attach copies):

Diagnosis	Year of Diagnosis	Professional Conducting Assessment
Severe autistic disorder	November 2000	Dr. Gold
	updated June 2007	

Additional assessment information (please attach copies):

•	March 2007: functional assessment	Report attached
•	May 2007: Gates-McGinitie Reading Comprehension	Report attached
•	May 2007: Gates-McGinitie Spelling	Report attached
•	May 2007: Key Math	Report attached
•	April 2007: Peabody Individual Achievement Test (PIAT)	Report attached
•	September 2007: Alberta Diagnostic Reading Test	Report attached
•	May 2006: Leiter International Performance Scale: Revised	Report attached
•	May 2006: Stanford Binet Intelligence Scale: Fifth Edition (SB-V)	Report attached

2. How does the condition/disability impact the student in the learning environment?

Difficulties with social interaction; expressive/receptive communications; stereotypical behaviours; compulsive and obsessive behaviours; preoccupation with science fiction movies.

a) Frequent sp	ecialized one-on-	one intervention provi	ded during 2008/200	09:
specialized se	etting with teacher		(hours per day);	(staff:student rat
hammed .		nd/or teacher assistant		1:3 (staff:student rat
X teacher assis			3 (hours per day);	1:1 (staff:student rat
interpreter			(hours per day);	(staff:student rat
other (specify)			
b) Specialized	equipment and/or	r assistive devices pro	ovided during 2008/	2009:
X communication	on devices	Γ	OT/PT equipment	t
	nology/devices	Ì	specialized furnitu	
sound field ar		Ī	personal FM syste	
other (specify		Ī	specialized softwa	
		e/health-related interv		
assistance with	n personal hygiene	lifts and transfers	feedir	ng program
catheterization		respiratory therap	y oxyge	en administration
				F 11
g-tube feeding		management of ed	quipment X OT/P	T therapy
g-tube feeding administration	of medication	management of ed O&M training		
administration X other		=	mobili walke	ity training (wheelcha r)
administration X other	cumentation of b	ehavioural and/or med	mobili walke	ity training (wheelcha r) 2008/2009:
administration X other d) Frequent do X Behaviour	cumentation of box X checklist X Frequency ◆	ehavioural and/or medanecdotal notes X b	mobili walke dical status during 2 ehaviour plan ot laily weekly	ity training (wheelchair) 2008/2009:
administration other d) Frequent do	x checklist x Frequency →	ehavioural and/or medical emergency	mobili walke dical status during 2 pehaviour plan ot laily weekly plan other	ty training (wheelcha r) 2008/2009: her monthly
administration other d) Frequent do X Behaviour Medical	X checklist X Frequency → medical logs Frequency → ort services for th	ehavioural and/or medical emergency hourly destudent at a cost to	mobili walke dical status during 2 pehaviour plan ot laily weekly plan other aily weekly the system during 2	ty training (wheelchair) 2008/2009: her monthly 2008/2009:
administration other d) Frequent do X Behaviour Medical e) Direct supple g.g., Regional E. Services must be itinerant teached special educat hearing consult occupational the	Cumentation of beautiful and the consultant tentages Cumentation of beautiful and the consultant tentages Cumentation of beautiful and the cumentation of beautifu	ehavioural and/or medical emergency medical emergency hourly destudent at a cost to service teams: (REACH, CAS dual student on a regularly sci X speech therapist ATL consultant registered psycho physical therapist	mobili walke dical status during 2 pehaviour plan other laily weekly plan other laily weekly the system during 2 E, ERECS, RESEAU), State wision nursir logist audio X behaviour plan other aily weekly	ty training (wheelchair) 2008/2009: her monthly 2008/2009: dent Health Partnership. consultant hig services logist viour specialist
administration X other d) Frequent do X Behaviour Medical e) Direct supple e.g., Regional E. Services must be itinerant teached special educate hearing consult occupational the O&M specialis	Cumentation of beautiful and the consultant tentages Cumentation of beautiful and the consultant tentages Cumentation of beautiful and the cumentation of beautifu	ehavioural and/or medical emergency medical emergency hourly de student at a cost to hervice teams: (REACH, CAS dual student on a regularly so	mobili walke dical status during 2 pehaviour plan other laily weekly plan other laily weekly the system during 2 E, ERECS, RESEAU), State wision nursir logist audio X behaviour plan other aily weekly	ty training (wheelchair) 2008/2009: her monthly 2008/2009: dent Health Partnership. consultant ng services logist
administration x other d) Frequent do X Behaviour Medical e) Direct supple g., Regional E. Services must be itinerant teached special educat hearing consult occupational the	Cumentation of beautiful and the consultant tentages Cumentation of beautiful and the consultant tentages Cumentation of beautiful and the cumentation of beautifu	ehavioural and/or medical emergency medical emergency hourly destudent at a cost to service teams: (REACH, CAS dual student on a regularly sci X speech therapist ATL consultant registered psycho physical therapist	mobili walke dical status during 2 pehaviour plan other laily weekly plan other laily weekly the system during 2 E, ERECS, RESEAU), State wision nursir logist audio X behaviour plan other aily weekly	ty training (wheelchair) 2008/2009: her monthly 2008/2009: dent Health Partnership. consultant ng services logist viour specialist
administration X other d) Frequent do X Behaviour Medical e) Direct supple e.g., Regional E. Services must be itinerant teached special educate thearing consult occupational the O&M specialis other	x checklist x Frequency → medical logs Frequency → medical Consulting Seprovided to the individual consultant tant merapist	ehavioural and/or medianecdotal notes	mobili walke dical status during 2 pehaviour plan ot laily weekly plan other laily weekly the system during 2 E, ERECS, RESEAU), Stockheduled basis. vision nursir audio X behaviour plan other audio X behaviour plan other audio x school	ty training (wheelchair) 2008/2009: her monthly 2008/2009: dent Health Partnership. consultant ng services logist viour specialist
administration X other d) Frequent do X Behaviour Medical e) Direct supple e.g., Regional E. Services must be itinerant teached special educate hearing consulty occupational the O&M specialis other	x checklist x Frequency → medical logs Frequency → medical Consulting Seprovided to the individual consultant tant merapist terminal consultant tant merapist	ehavioural and/or medical emergency medical emergency hourly destudent at a cost to service teams: (REACH, CAS dual student on a regularly sci X speech therapist ATL consultant registered psycho physical therapist	mobili walke dical status during 2 pehaviour plan ot laily weekly plan other laily weekly the system during 2 E, ERECS, RESEAU), State of laily wision nursir audio logist audio ker school	ty training (wheelchair) 2008/2009: her monthly 2008/2009: dent Health Partnership. a consultant ng services logist viour specialist of counsellor

DECLARATION

Relevant assessment documentation to support the claim is available, at least three of five supports listed in 3 (a-e) are in place, a current IPP is included in the student's file, and the student meets the 2008/2009 severe disabilities coding criteria.

September 15, 2008

Mr. Principal

Signature of School Authority Designate

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: Cheng

Date of Birth: 12/16/1996 Age as of Sept. 1/2008: 12

Parents: Liang & Bo Date I.P.P. Created: September 2008

Address: Phone #:

Eligibility Code: 44

Year of School/Grade: Grade 6

Background information: Classroom context

School: Valley School, GHI Authority

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: P. O'Grady

Additional IPP Team Members: W. Mathews, school administrator

Liang & Bo, parents

J. Reiche, resource teacher G. Eady, teacher assistant

R. Lannigan, speech-language pathologist

S. Ellis, home support worker A. Holt, behaviour consultant

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Cheng's parents are very involved in the identification of IPP goals. They are especially interested in supporting the development of more appropriate classroom behaviours and using communication strategies consistently at home in order to reduce Cheng's frustration.

Strengths

- · follows instructions with visual prompts
- · uses word processing programs for writing
- · uses a timer to monitor on-task behaviour
- · uses visual schedule to prepare for changes

Areas of Need

- to develop increased independence at school
- · to learn to cope with transitions
- · to learn to calm himself when anxious
- to develop more appropriate social behaviours

Medical Conditions that Impact Schooling	Coordinated Support Services	
No current medical conditions that impact schooling	Teacher assistant — G. Eady Classroom teacher — P. O'Grady Communication consultant — R. Lannigan Home support worker — S. Ellis Behaviour consultant — A. Holt None required at this time	

Assessment Data (Specialized Assessment Results)

Date	Test	Results
May 2006	Leiter International Performance Scale: Revised Stanford Binet Intelligence Scale: Fifth Edition (SB-V)	 Nonverbal reasoning skills appear to be approximately two years delayed. Assessment with the SB-V was attempted and discontinued. Psychologist felt that Cheng's verbal reasoning skills and oral skills were considerably weaker than his nonverbal skills.
April 2007	Peabody Individual Achievement Test (PIAT)	Cheng's performance was very inconsistent. His decoding skills were somewhat stronger than his comprehension skills but his articulation problems made it difficult to understand all of his responses.
March 2007	Functional behaviour and communication assessments	Cheng displays abnormal language development and social interaction, unusual interests, self-stimulatory behaviours, obsessive compulsive behaviours and resistance to change, all of which are consistent with his diagnosis of severe autism.

Current Level of Performance and Achievement		Ye	ar-end Sı	ummary		
Ser	otember		Jun	June		
	Cheng can follow simple winstructions that are accompliant and accompliant accompliant and acc	panied by fami ples. es using a word tion. He can wr s. npts to attempt s to attempt g Test: sight word s seem to be pical awareness	rite	 Cheng has demonstrated gains in hunderstanding and use of oral and communication strategies over the Cheng will use his picture schedule verbal prompt about half of the time of the time, he still requires physica Cheng is developing independents (supported by visual scripts) to work classroom and is less reliant on the assistant. Cheng has mastered using his red/symbol to indicate desire for attentidesire to be left alone. 		of oral and visual es over the year. re schedule with a f of the time. The rest ires physical prompts. dependent skills ripts) to work in the eliant on the teacher sing his red/green e for attention and use his portable esself in transitioning ghout the school
Gr	ade Level of Achiever	ment Report	ing Infor	mation		
	Adapted programming (g	raded curricu	lum)			
	Modified programming (r	ot graded cur	riculum)			
	If student is on a modifier relative to each goal cate		dicate cat	egory of e	ach goal an	d achievement level
	foundational skills (skills)					
	Goals achieved:			some	none	not applicable
	 academic readiness outcomes in the programmer 	rams of study	in Grade	1 and sub	sequent gra	de levels)
	Goals achieved:			some	none	☐ not applicable
	 life skills (e.g., skills home, school and cor 		t the stude	ent in deve	eloping inde	pendence in the
	Goals achieved:		nost [some	none	☐ not applicable

Long-term Goal: Cheng will use oral and visual communication strategies to achieve his desires and function more independently in the classroom and at home.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By November, with a physical prompt, Cheng will use a detailed picture schedule to follow the class routine in four out of four class periods for four consecutive days.	teacher/teacher assistant observation parent observation (home)	achieved At home, Cheng uses his picture schedule (scripted with PCS for dinner routines) with verbal prompts.
By February, with a verbal prompt, Cheng will use a detailed picture schedule to follow the class routine in four out of four class periods for four consecutive days.	teacher/teacher assistant observation and documented records	With a verbal prompt, Cheng will complete his work independently, follow the instructional routine for the assignment and return his work to the "finished basket" about half the time. He still requires a physical prompt the rest of the time.
By April, Cheng will use his choosing book paired with oral language to support 85% of his communication attempts in the classroom.	teacher/teacher assistant observation	Emerging: Cheng will use his choosing book to express his wants.
By June report card, when using his choosing book for communication support, Cheng will: a) select and verbalize five appropriate social script sentences to interact with a peer during partner or small group work on three out of five occasions b) orient himself toward and attend to a peer's attempt to reply using the choosing book.	teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation	a) Requires prompting b) Attends to a peer's attempt but does not respond back or initiate further exchange

- · Provide instruction in a variety of ways (e.g., hands on, visual, multisensory).
- · Use a daily communication book between home and school.
- Use visual cues and visually scripted instructional routines (drawings, picture communication symbols) to support Cheng's learning.
- Provide instructional opportunities for Cheng to make choices in the classroom.
- Provide a verbal cue paired with a visual cue prior to transitions between activities.

Long-term Goal: Cheng will further develop his functional academic skills in reading and math.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By November, when using the classroom computer and his personal dictionary, Cheng will write and illustrate sentences using five new words per week.	teacher observation of written product checklist of sight words in personal dictionary	achieved
By mid January, when silently reading a series of short instructional level passages, Cheng will be able to recall (in written form using the class computer) two details in two of three passages.	teacher will select passages from computer software reading program teacher assistant will measure criteria and document progress	achieved
By March Break, when given math word problems, Cheng will select the correct strategy card for solving one-step problems on 8 out of 10 attempts.	teacher provides problem sheets; teacher assistant will monitor and document strategy selection	achieved; create strategy cards for two-step problems
By May, when silently reading a series of short instructional level passages, Cheng will be able to sequence pictures of the story events in three of four passages.	teacher selects passages and sequence cards teacher assistant will measure criteria and record progress	achieved

- · Provide instruction in a variety of ways (e.g., hands on, visual, multisensory).
- Use a daily communication book between home and school.
- Use visual cues and visually scripted instructional routines (drawings, picture communication symbols) to support Cheng's learning.
- · Provide instructional opportunities for Cheng to make choices in the classroom.
- Provide a verbal cue paired with a visual cue prior to transitions between activities.

Long-term Goal: Cheng will develop increasing control of compliant and positive behaviours in order to achieve his desires.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By October, when a self-calming strategy is modelled, Cheng will imitate the demonstrated routine on 70% of opportunities.	teacher assistant models and monitors token reinforcers and documents progress	achieved
By November, when working independently in the classroom, Cheng will use a visual cue card to signal his request for adult attention or his desire to be left alone on 50% of opportunities.	teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation	achieved; increase to 75% use by June
By the end of January, when prompted verbally and paired with a visual cue, Cheng will initiate a self-calming strategy 50% of the time.	teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation	achieved
By March break, when working on assignments, Cheng will use a visual cue card to signal when he needs a break on 60% of opportunities.	teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation	Emerging: Cheng uses his break card 40% of the time. When frustrated, Cheng refuses to do a task rather than ask for help or a break. Continue to model and reinforce use of the break card before seeing signs of agitation.
By June 15, when anxious or frustrated, Cheng will use his calming strategy 75% of the time without prompting.	teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation	Cheng requires verbal prompts to use his calming strategy 100% of the time.

- · Provide instruction in a variety of ways (e.g., hands on, visual, multisensory).
- · Use a daily communication book between home and school.
- Use visual cues and visually scripted instructional routines (drawings, picture communication symbols) to support Cheng's learning.
- · Provide instructional opportunities for Cheng to make choices in the classroom.
- Provide a verbal cue paired with a visual cue prior to transitions between activities.
- Provide modeling and explicit instruction in social skills strategies (e.g., visually scripted peer interactions).

Planning for Transition

- Cheng will continue to need a computer next year in junior high, so arrangements for portable technology should be explored by June 1, 2009.
- The junior high resource teacher has already visited with Cheng and observed him in the classroom and playground settings.
- A volunteer peer helper from Grade 7 will visit Cheng in June and accompany him and his mother
 on two visits to the junior high school.
- Arrangements will be made for the same volunteer peer helper to participate in some activities with Cheng next year as part of the peer helper program.
- During Grade 7, Cheng's IPP team and parents will help Cheng explore areas of strength for future vocational and community participation.

Goals and Objectives Requiring Ongoing Focus

- Cheng uses his choosing book enthusiastically, but he occasionally fails to comprehend that he
 must follow through with his choices. This needs re-teaching and reinforcement to firm up skill and
 understanding.
- When anxious, Cheng still uses disruptive behaviours about 50% of the time (yelling, banging).
 Cheng's parents will continue to reinforce Cheng's use of self-calming strategies and visual communication strategies over the summer. Before September, a meeting will be arranged to discuss effective reinforcers for calming time.
- Continued focus on developing functional reading and writing skills.

Strategies That Worked Well

- Instructional routines (scripted with PCS) facilitated Cheng's independence in the classroom.
- Colour coding assignment folders and providing colour coded "finished baskets" for finished work were effective in helping Cheng find, organize and return his work.
- Visual cues supported Cheng's communication and positive behaviours while participating in activities.
- Cheng willingly used the computer reading programs.
- The choosing book is an effective system for enhancing Cheng's receptive and expressive communication.

Support Services Required

Teacher assistant support to continue at the present level for the 2008/2009 school year then
re-evaluate.

Recommendations

- Set up a classroom quiet area and provide strong positive reinforcement when Cheng asks appropriately to use the quiet area.
- Maintain close communication and coordination of strategies between home and school to maintain consistency.
- Suggested focus for the Grade 7 year: help Cheng develop coping skills for new challenges in the junior high school environment (e.g., changing classes, use of lockers, multiple teachers, and increase functional reading and writing skills).

Additional Information

Deafness (Code 45)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with a severe to profound hearing loss is one who:

- a) has a hearing loss of 71 decibels (dB) or more unaided in the better ear over the normal speech range (500 to 4000 Hz) which interferes with the use of oral language as the primary form of communication, or has a cochlear implant preceded by a 71 dB hearing loss unaided in the better ear; and
- b) requires extensive modifications and specialized educational supports; and
- c) has a diagnosis by a clinical or educational audiologist. New approvals require an audiogram within the past 3 years.

If a student has a severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss that has not changed significantly since the initial approval by Alberta Education, documentation from a qualified specialist in the field of deafness outlining the severity of the hearing loss and modifications to the learning environment may be sufficient to support eligibility.

Questions and Answers

What are the main characteristics of a student with a severe to profound hearing loss?

- Hearing loss of 71 dB or more unaided in the better ear over the normal speech range on an audiogram.
- The primary form of communication may be an oral approach and/or sign language (e.g., Signed English and/or American Sign Language).
- · Requires extensive modifications and specialized educational supports.

What documentation is required for eligibility?

- An audiogram from an audiologist must be in the student's file. If a student has a severe to profound hearing loss that has not changed significantly, an updated audiogram is not necessary.
- A recent functional assessment from personnel specializing in the field of deaf and hard of hearing, e.g., Regional Educational Consulting Service teams (REACH, CASE, ERECS, RÉSEAU), may be sufficient for programming purposes. A functional assessment report specifies the amount and type of personal assistance, specialized programming, equipment and/or communication access required by the student.

· Hearing level classification equivalents:

Descriptor	Decibel (dB) range (how loud a sound must be in order to be heard)
Normal hearing for children	0-15 dB
Minimal loss	16-25 dB
Mild loss	26-40 dB
Moderate loss	41-55 dB
Moderate-severe loss	56-70 dB
Severe loss	71-90 dB
Profound loss	90 + dB

What other supporting documentation relevant to the student's disabling condition and programming requirements may be included with the student package?

- Additional reports from hearing specialists, speech-language therapists, or other professionals working with the student
- · Current relevant medical reports
- Any documentation, including anecdotal records, reflecting the student's needs in the learning environment.

For additional information, please refer to the case study on pages 62 to 74.

Case Study - Lukina

Background information, description of severe disabling condition(s)

- Lukina is a nine-year-old girl in a regular Grade 4 classroom.
- Lukina has a congenital severe sloping to profound, binaural (involving both ears) sensorineural hearing loss, diagnosed at age 16 months by Rob Ring, audiologist, in September 1999. There has been no significant change in Lukina's hearing.
- Shortly after diagnosis, Lukina began speech therapy.
 It was discontinued at the end of last school year.
- Beginning when she was about 3 years old, Lukina attended a preschool designated for children with hearing loss. Her parents choose an oral/aural approach in combination with sign language as the mode of communication.
- Lukina's articulation is difficult to understand but intelligible to those who know her.
- Assessments by S. Town, RECS hearing consultant, confirmed academic, language and sign language skills delays. She provided programming recommendations in May 2007.
- Lukina continues to use a combination of oral and sign language as her primary mode of communication at home and at school.

Current supports/services in place

- Lukina's teacher has access to an educational audiologist and education consultant for deaf and hard of hearing to support Lukina's educational programming.
- The RECS consultant for deaf and hard of hearing supports Lukina in her school program and assists the teacher and other staff in the development and implementation of her IPP.
- Lukina's learning environment and presentation of materials are modified and/or adapted to address her communication and academic needs.
- Lukina is supported throughout the day by a qualified sign language interpreter, including recess and lunch breaks.
- Lukina has access to a computer for additional literacy/communication support.

Individualized Program Plan

- Lukina's IPP was developed with the learning team, which consists of her parents, teacher(s), consultant for deaf and hard of hearing, and school administrator.
- Measurable goals are identified to address Lukina's language, communication, literacy and social/emotional needs. The IPP identifies assessment data, current level of performance and achievement, her strengths, needs, procedures for evaluating student progress, coordinated supports, teaching/classroom adaptations including additional program supports, transition plans and year-end summary.



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2008/2009

	PLEASE CHECK CODE
41	Severe Cognitive
42	Severe Emotional/Behavioural
43	Severe Multiple
44	Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism
X 45	Deafness
46	Blindness

ho	South School		
ıd	ent Name Lukina		
e	rta Student Number (ASN) XXXXXXX	XX	
	date (yy/mm/dd) 99/05/12		Grade 4
	ement (describe) Included in regular G	Frada A alassroom	
IC	included in regular c	stade 4 classiooni	
5	Supporting documentation from the ap	propriate professi	onal(s) (please attach copies):
	Diagnosis	Year of Diagnosis	Professional Conducting Assessment
	Congenital severe sloping to profound, binaural	September 1999	Rob Ring, Audiologist
1	Additional assessment information (plumay 2007: update for programming by S. April 2006: Test of Written Language-Thim March 2007: Gates-McGinitie Reading Coctober 2005: Raven's Standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (version of the standard Progress Average IQ (version of the sta	Town, RECS hear rd Edition (TOWL-3 comprehension Test ssive (RSP) Matrice	ng consultant) — well below average on all subtests — 20th Percentile
1 1 1	May 2007: update for programming by S. April 2006: Test of Written Language-Thi March 2007: Gates-McGinitie Reading Coctober 2005: Raven's Standard Progres	Town, RECS hearing Edition (TOWL-3 comprehension Test sive (RSP) Matrice erbal only) ct the student in the initiation and literacy	ng consultant) — well below average on all subtests — 20th Percentile s — Average range IQ ne learning environment? delays. Lukina uses an oral approach in
	May 2007: update for programming by S. April 2006: Test of Written Language-Thi March 2007: Gates-McGinitie Reading Coctober 2005: Raven's Standard Progres June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (velow does the condition/disability impacukina has academic, language, commun	Town, RECS heard Edition (TOWL-3 comprehension Test ssive (RSP) Matrice erbal only) ct the student in thication and literacy ter to access inform the Funding Manual the following support to the student of the studen	ng consultant — well below average on all subtests — 20th Percentile — Average range IQ ne learning environment? delays. Lukina uses an oral approach in lation and to communicate. all for School Authorities 2008-2009 lorts from (a) to (e) that are being
	May 2007: update for programming by S. April 2006: Test of Written Language-Thir March 2007: Gates-McGinitie Reading Control of Cotober 2005: Raven's Standard Progres June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (video does the condition/disability impactukina has academic, language, communicombination with a sign language interpreservices provided in accordance with the School Year. Identify three or more of the provided to the student:	Town, RECS heard Edition (TOWL-3 comprehension Test ssive (RSP) Matrice erbal only) ct the student in thication and literacy ter to access inform the Funding Manual the following support to the student of the studen	ng consultant — well below average on all subtests — 20th Percentile s — Average range IQ ne learning environment? delays. Lukina uses an oral approach in lation and to communicate. all for School Authorities 2008-2009 ports from (a) to (e) that are being ed during 2008/2009:
	May 2007: update for programming by S. April 2006: Test of Written Language-Thim March 2007: Gates-McGinitie Reading Control of Cotober 2005: Raven's Standard Progres June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (voldow does the condition/disability impactually and the combination with a sign language interpression of School Year. Identify three or more of provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one in	Town, RECS hearing Edition (TOWL-3 comprehension Test ssive (RSP) Matrice erbal only) cot the student in the interest of the student in the interest of the following support the revention provides	ng consultant) — well below average on all subtests — 20th Percentile s — Average range IQ ne learning environment? delays. Lukina uses an oral approach in lation and to communicate. all for School Authorities 2008-2009 ports from (a) to (e) that are being ed during 2008/2009:
	May 2007: update for programming by S. April 2006: Test of Written Language-Thi March 2007: Gates-McGinitie Reading Coctober 2005: Raven's Standard Progres June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (videow does the condition/disability impaction with a sign language, communicombination with a sign language interpreservices provided in accordance with the School Year. Identify three or more of provided to the student: Specialized setting with teacher Specialized settin	Town, RECS hearing Edition (TOWL-3 comprehension Test ssive (RSP) Matrice erbal only) cot the student in the interest of the student in the interest of the following support the revention provides	ng consultant) — well below average on all subtests — 20th Percentile s — Average range IQ ne learning environment? delays. Lukina uses an oral approach in lation and to communicate. of for School Authorities 2008-2009 lorts from (a) to (e) that are being ed during 2008/2009:
	May 2007: update for programming by S. April 2006: Test of Written Language-Thir March 2007: Gates-McGinitie Reading Controber 2005: Raven's Standard Progress June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (velow does the condition/disability impaction and the combination with a sign language interpression of the condition with a sign language interpression of the condition with a sign language interpression of the condition with a student: The condition of the condition of the condition with the condition of the conditi	Town, RECS hearing Edition (TOWL-3 comprehension Test ssive (RSP) Matrice erbal only) cot the student in the interest of the student in the interest of the following support the revention provides	ng consultant — well below average on all subtests — 20th Percentile s — Average range IQ ne learning environment? delays. Lukina uses an oral approach in lation and to communicate. al for School Authorities 2008-2009 lorts from (a) to (e) that are being ded during 2008/2009:

sound field amplification

other (specify)

personal FM system

X specialized software

assistance with personal hygiene catheterization g-tube feeding administration of medication	lifts and transfers respiratory therapy management of equipment O&M training	feeding program oxygen administration OT/PT therapy mobility training (wheelchai
d) Frequent documentation of beh	avioural and/or medical state	walker us provided during 2008/2009:
Behaviour checklist an	ecdotal notes behaviour	plan other
Frequency ⇒	hourly daily	weekly monthly
Medical medical logs	medical emergency plan	other
Frequency ⇒	hourly daily	weekly monthly
occupational therapist O&M specialist	registered psychologist physical therapist school liaison worker	X audiologist behaviour specialist school counsellor
Individualized Program Plan (IPP) is in		
X The current IPP identifies the assess programming to address those need		ncludes specific educational
X This IPP has been developed, imple parent/guardian.	mented and signed by the lea	rning team, including the
CLARATION		
ports listed in 3 (a-e) are in place, a culent meets the 2008/2009 severe disab	ilities coding criteria.	
ports listed in 3 (a-e) are in place, a cu	oilities coding criteria.	M. Simpson

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: Lukina

Date of Birth: 05/12/1999 Age as of Sept. 1/2008: 9

Parents: Mr. and Mrs. Parent Date I.P.P. Created: September 2008

Address: Phone #:

Eligibility Code: 45

Year of School/Grade: 4

Background information: Classroom context

School: South School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: A. Parsons

Additional IPP Team Members: M. Simpson, principal

Mr. & Mrs. Parent, parents

S. Town, RECS consultant for deaf and hard of hearing

J. Panwhar, special education consultant

P. Gaudet, interpreter
D. Jones, school counsellor

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

- Lukina and her family communicate using a combination of speech and sign language. Lukina's
 parents are very involved with her education and supportive of the school.
- Lukina has support from a trained sign language interpreter (e.g., class activities and communication from staff and students, recess, field trips).
- Results of the September 2007 psycho-educational assessment will assist in the development of Lukina's IPP to ensure that she is presented with materials at the appropriate level and to identify effective strategies.
- Currently, the consultant for the deaf and hard of hearing has recommended that the teacher(s)
 refer to Book 4 of Alberta Education's Programming for Students with Special Needs Series:
 Teaching Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing for strategies and programming
 considerations. Lukina's learning team developed the IPP.
- The school counsellor meets with Lukina to discuss her self-image, self-esteem and self-confidence.

Strengths

- enjoys playing sports
- supportive family
- · math computation
- · willingness to try new things
- · enjoys hands-on activities
- · friendly and outgoing

Areas of Need

- sign language vocabulary (expressive and receptive) and fluency skills
- · communication repair skills
- keyboarding skills
- · English literacy skills
- · focusing his visual attention where/when needed
- · greater independence in completing all academic assignments

Medical Conditions that Impact Schooling	Coordinated Support Services	
Congenital severe sloping to profound sensorineural binaural hearing loss; no auditory access to oral information No current medical conditions that impact schooling	S. Town, RECS consultant for deaf and hard of hearing J. Panwhar, special ed. consultant P. Gaudet, interpreter D. Jones, school counsellor T. Smith, technology/computer software consultant None required at this time	

Assessment Data (Specialized Assessment Results)

Date	Test	Results
September 2008	P. Roberts, C. Psych., is scheduled to administer a formal psycho-educational assessment, including cognitive functioning, to Lukina in early September 2008	

Current Level of Performance and Achievement	Year-end Summary
September April 2008 Test of Written Language-3rd Edition (TOWL-3) • well below average on all subtests	June
March 2008 Gates-McGinitie Reading Comprehension Test 20th percentile	
October 2006 Raven's Standard Progressive (RSP) Matrices • high average range (non-verbal)	
June 2006 Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (K-Bit) • below average (verbal)	
Grade Level of Achievement Reporting	a Information
Adapted programming (graded curriculum	
Modified programming (not graded curric If student is on a modified program, indic relative to each goal category:	cate category of each goal and achievement level
 foundational skills (e.g., communica skills) 	tions, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor
Goals achieved: all mos	st some none not applicable
	diness skills to prepare student for learning Grade 1 and subsequent grade levels) st some none not applicable
 life skills (e.g., skills that will assist the home, school and community) 	e student in developing independence in the
Goals achieved: all mos	st some none not applicable

Long-term Goal: Lukina will increase her receptive and expressive sign language vocabulary and subject area concepts at the level of her ability, with increased clarity of hand movements.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
For each unit/theme for all subject areas, Lukina will learn a minimum of 15 new vocabulary words in sign, both receptive and expressive, with 100% accuracy at the end of each unit/theme.	teacher-prepared formal and informal assessment and records interpreter's observations regarding clarity of sign (hand movements) for others' understanding	At the completion of each unit/ theme for all subject areas.
Lukina will preview and review concepts in both written and signed form with her interpreter under the guidance of each subject teacher to raise her average in each subject area by at least 3% each reporting period.	teacher and interpreter observations and records formal and informal assessments of subject content	NovemberMarchJune
During social activities with deaf children and others who are learning to sign, Lukina will spontaneously engage in and respond positively to others' signed communication at least 80% of the time by the end of June.	teacher and interpreter observations and documentation	November March June

- Lukina receives pre-teaching and review of written and signed vocabulary when new content is introduced.
- The teacher and the interpreter must pre-determine which words will be included when Lukina has oral spelling tests so signs (not fingerspellling) are used, and if she is required define vocabulary, the interpreter uses signs that do not identify the concept/meaning.

Long-term Goal: Lukina will learn and utilize effective and age-appropriate communication repair strategies.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By the end of June, with no prompting, Lukina will effectively use at least three effective communication repair strategies in 75% of the opportunities.	observation and documentation by the interpreter, teacher and consultant for deaf and hard of hearing	weekly
By June, Lukina will appropriately indicate when she needs communication rescue from the interpreter, after making at least two independent attempts to repair the communication breakdown in 85% of the opportunities.	observation and documentation by the interpreter and consultant for deaf and hard of hearing	weekly

Long-term Goal: Lukina will improve her ability to focus his visual attention appropriately.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By mid-June, Lukina will independently refocus her visual attention appropriately with no more than two prompts in at least 75% of the situations.	teacher, hearing consultant and interpreter observations and documentation	daily
By mid-June, Lukina will ignore visual distractions, after a brief visual check, 75% of the time.	teacher, hearing consultant and interpreter observations and documentation	daily

- Lukina's seating is adjusted to ensure a clear line of vision to the speaker and/or interpreter who
 will stand or sit near to the speaker. This allows Lukina greater opportunity to see the facial
 expressions of the speaker as well as understand the oral communication. Ensure that the light
 source is on the face of the speaker and that Lukina's back is to the light source.
- The speaker provides pause time in oral communication when Lukina is required to read or
 observe a model/activity and then continues talking when Lukina resumes visual contact with the
 speaker. She cannot look at the activity/book, speaker and interpreter at the same time.

Long-term Goal: Lukina will improve her English literacy skills.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
Lukina will read stories with 80% comprehension at mid Grade 2 level by March 2009	comprehension activities from the classroom reading series	weekly
By June, Lukina will write an average of one creative writing story each week with an 80% accuracy using conventions and sentence structure at the early Grade 2 level.	teacher formal and informal assessment TOWL-3	weekly

- Much of Lukina's individualized language arts instruction, assignments and extra practice can be done with computer software support.
- · A home-reading program with the parents will be implemented.
- Lukina will have access to a computer for academic support and communication support when applicable.

Long-term Goal: Lukina will demonstrate greater independence in starting and completing academic assignments.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
By December, Lukina will begin her work within two minutes of being instructed to begin with no prompts 50% of the time.	teacher and interpreter observation and documentation	daily
By June, Lurina will work independently at the appropriate assignment for at least 10 minutes before requesting help, 75% of the time.	teacher and interpreter observation and documentation	daily

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

· Use of captioned videos when available.

Planning for Transition

- In June, the Grade 5 teacher(s) will meet with the Grade 4 teacher(s), parents, interpreter, consultant for deaf and hard of hearing, and educational audiologist to provide information regarding Lukina's programming, accommodations and progress. Identify the learning team and each member's role for Grade 5.
- The Grade 5 teacher, the consultant for deaf and hard of hearing, and the educational audiologist will determine a tentative schedule of visits for the following year.
- The FM system is checked by the audiologist and sent for maintenance if necessary.
- Provide parents with contacts and organizations which may allow interaction with other signing deaf students as well as signing deaf adult role models.

Additional Information	
Signatures	
understand and agree with the information	on contained in this Individualized Program Plan.
Parents	Date
Parents IPP Coordinator/Teacher	Date

Blindness (Code 46)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with severe vision impairment is one who:

- a) has corrected vision so limited that it is inadequate for most or all instructional situations, and information must be presented through other means; and
- b) has visual acuity ranging from 6/60 (20/200) in the better eye after correction, to having no usable vision or field of vision reduced to an angle of 20 degrees.

If a student has a severe to profound visual impairment that has not changed significantly since the initial approval by Alberta Education, documentation from a qualified specialist in the field of vision outlining the severity of the disability and modifications to the learning environment may be sufficient to support eligibility.

For those students who may be difficult to assess (e.g., cortical blindness – developmentally delayed), a functional visual assessment by a qualified specialist in the field of vision or a medical professional may be sufficient to support eligibility.

Questions and Answers

What are the main characteristics of a student with a severe visual disability?

- In order to participate fully within the educational environment, students who are blind or visually impaired require instruction in disability-specific skills. These include:
 - compensatory academic skills, including braille or alternate format materials such as large print or audio tape
 - orientation and mobility
 - social interaction skills
 - assistive technology such as screen readers
 - independent living or personal management skills
 - visual efficiency skills
 - recreation, leisure, career and life management skills.

What documentation is required for eligibility?

 Reports or results from a medical doctor, ophthalmologist, specialized teachers or other medical professionals specializing in the field, which document the severity of the disability, must be in the student's file.

What other supporting documentation relevant to the student's disabling condition and programming requirements may be included with the student package for monitoring by Alberta Education?

- Additional reports from specialized teachers, orientation and mobility specialists or other professionals working with the student
- · Current relevant medical reports
- Any documentation, including anecdotal information, which reflects the student's needs in the learning environment.

For additional information, please refer to the case study on pages 76 to 85.

Case Study — Shannon

Shannon is a nine-year-old Grade 4 student. Background She was diagnosed at age 2 in June 2000, with ocular information, description albinism and nystagmus (involuntary movement of the of severe disabling eyes) by Dr. Lee, ophthalmologist. condition(s) Visual acuity was recorded at that time as 6/60 in each eye. This is consistent with the definition of legal blindness. Shannon is of above average intelligence. An updated functional assessment in March 2007 by Tom Brown, specialized teacher, includes programming recommendations. Consultation services for the visually impaired are Current provided on a bi-monthly basis. supports/services in Shannon is provided with braille and tactile place modifications, preferred seating and the use of assistive technology that includes magnification devices. Shannon receives orientation and mobility instruction bi-monthly from a qualified orientation and mobility instructor. Shannon is supported by a full-time teacher assistant whose primary responsibilities include: ensuring that all visual materials presented within the classroom environment are available to Shannon in an alternate format providing one-to-one assistance in the follow-up of braille instruction, including nemeth code providing follow-up and support for orientation and mobility needs providing support in the use of assistive technology. Shannon's IPP was developed in consultation with her **Individualized Program** learning team, which includes Shannon, her mother, Plan teacher, specialized teacher, orientation and mobility specialist, and teacher assistant. The goals of her educational program reflect her needs for the development of specialized skills, including braille, orientation and mobility, and the use of assistive technology. · Shannon's program goals also reflect the need for her to learn skills in the areas of personal grooming and care, social interaction and recreation. Shannon's primary medium for reading is braille, because of fatigue factors associated with print reading.



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2008/2009

	PLEASE CHECK CODE
41	Severe Cognitive
42	Severe Emotional/Behavioural
43	Severe Multiple
44	Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism
45	Deafness
× 46	Blindness

chool Authority XY	Authority			
chool Battner School	ol			
udent Name Shanne	on			
berta Student Numbe	r (ASN) XXXXXX	XXX		
rth date (yy/mm/dd)	98/06/30		Grade 4	
acement (describe)	Grade 4 classroom			
Supporting docume	entation from the a	ppropriate profess	sional(s) (please attach	copies):
	nosis	Year of Diagnosis		ucting Assessment
Ocular albinism; vis		June 1999	Dr. Lee	
Nystagmus		June 1999	Dr. Lee	
How does the cond Shannon is not able	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie	eact the student in visual materials usi entation and mobility	the learning environment of training is ongoing in or	larged print. She
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided in	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the common accordance with	visual materials usi entation and mobility nunity.	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or the learning environment of the learning environme	larged print. She der to increase
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided i School Year. Ident	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the comm n accordance with ify three or more o	visual materials usi entation and mobility nunity.	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or	larged print. She der to increase
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided in School Year. Ident provided to the stu	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie tool and in the comm n accordance with ify three or more o	visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. The Funding Manual of the following superiors.	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or the learning environment of the learning environme	larged print. She der to increase
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided i School Year. Ident provided to the stu- a) Frequent specia	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Ories nool and in the common n accordance with ify three or more of dent: alized one-on-one	visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. The Funding Manual of the following superiors.	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or the learning environment of the learning environ	larged print. She der to increase
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided is School Year. Ident provided to the stu a) Frequent special	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the common n accordance with ify three or more of dent: alized one-on-one	pact the student in visual materials usigntation and mobility munity. the Funding Man f the following supintervention provides	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or a val for School Authority oports from (a) to (e) the lead during 2008/2009:	larged print. She reder to increase ies 2008-2009 at are being (staff-student ratio
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided is School Year. Ident provided to the stur a) Frequent special specialized set small group wo	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the comm n accordance with ify three or more of dent: alized one-on-one ting with teacher ark with teacher and	pact the student in visual materials usigntation and mobility munity. the Funding Man f the following supintervention provides	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or a valid for School Authority operts from (a) to (e) the learning 2008/2009:	larged print. She reder to increase ies 2008-2009 at are being (staff-student ratio (staff-student ratio
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided is School Year. Ident provided to the stu a) Frequent special specialized set small group wo X teacher assista	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the comm n accordance with ify three or more of dent: alized one-on-one ting with teacher ark with teacher and	pact the student in visual materials usigntation and mobility munity. the Funding Man f the following supintervention provides	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or the learning is ongoing in or learning environment of the learning environment of th	larged print. She reder to increase ies 2008-2009 at are being (staff student ratio (staff student ratio) 1:1 (staff student ratio)
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided is School Year. Ident provided to the stur a) Frequent special specialized set small group wo	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the comm n accordance with ify three or more of dent: alized one-on-one ting with teacher ark with teacher and	pact the student in visual materials usigntation and mobility munity. I the Funding Manifithe following supintervention provides the following supintervention supintervention supplies the following supintervention supplies supplies the following supintervention supplies supplie	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or a valid for School Authority operts from (a) to (e) the learning 2008/2009:	larged print. She reder to increase ies 2008-2009 at are being (staff.student ratio (staff.student ratio (staff.student ratio (staff.student ratio (staff.student ratio
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided is School Year. Ident provided to the stu a) Frequent special specialized set small group wo X teacher assista interpreter X other (specify)	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the comm n accordance with ify three or more of dent: alized one-on-one ting with teacher ark with teacher and int O & M instruction per month).	pact the student in visual materials usign that ion and mobility munity. I the Funding Manifithe following supintervention provides the following supintervention supinterve	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or the learning is ongoing in or learning environment of the learning environment of th	larged print. She reder to increase ies 2008-2009 at are being (staff student ratio
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided is School Year. Ident provided to the stu a) Frequent special specialized set small group wo X teacher assista interpreter X other (specify) b) Specialized e	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the comm n accordance with ify three or more of dent: alized one-on-one ting with teacher ark with teacher and int O & M instruction per month). quipment and/or a	pact the student in visual materials usign that ion and mobility munity. I the Funding Manifithe following supintervention provides the following supintervention supinterve	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or a valid for School Authority operts from (a) to (e) the learning 2008/2009:	larged print. She reder to increase ies 2008-2009 at are being (staff student ratio
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided is School Year. Ident provided to the stu a) Frequent special specialized set small group wo X teacher assistat interpreter X other (specify) b) Specialized e	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the comm n accordance with ify three or more o dent: alized one-on-one ting with teacher ark with teacher and int O & M instruction per month). quipment and/or a	pact the student in visual materials usign that ion and mobility munity. The Funding Man of the following superintervention provides for teacher assistant and braille instructions in and braille instructions.	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or the learning is ongoing in or the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning environment environme	larged print. She reder to increase ies 2008-2009 at are being (staff student ratio
How does the cond Shannon is not able requires instruction i independence at sch Services provided is School Year. Ident provided to the stu a) Frequent special specialized set small group wo X teacher assista interpreter X other (specify) b) Specialized e	ition/disability imp to access print and n using braille. Orie nool and in the comr n accordance with ify three or more o dent: alized one-on-one ting with teacher and with teacher and ant O & M instruction per month). quipment and/or a devices ology/devices	pact the student in visual materials usign that ion and mobility munity. The Funding Man of the following superintervention provides for teacher assistant and braille instructions in and braille instructions.	the learning environment of the learning environment of the learning is ongoing in or a valid for School Authority operts from (a) to (e) the learning 2008/2009:	larged print. She reder to increase ies 2008-2009 at are being (staff student ratio

c) Assistance w	ith personal car	re/health-related	interventions	provided during	2008/2009:
X assistance with catheterization g-tube feeding administration of other	personal hygiend	respiratory	therapy nt of equipment	OT/PT the	ministration
d) Frequent doc	umentation of t	behavioural and/	or medical stat	tus during 2008/	2009:
Behaviour	checklist Frequency	anecdotal notes hourly	behaviour daily	plan other weekly	monthly
Medical	medical logs	medical eme	rgency plan	other	monthly
itinerant teache special education hearing consult occupational the	r on consultant ant	speech the ATL consul registered	rapist Itant psychologist	X vision cons nursing se audiologist behaviour	rvices t specialist
X O&M specialist other Individualized Prog		is in place for 20		school cou	ınsellor
	didentifies the as	sessed needs of needs.	thè student and	includes specific	educational
X This IPP has be parent/guardiar		mplemented and s	signed by the le	arning team, inclu	uding the
ECLARATION delevant assessment upports listed in 3 (a- tudent meets the 200	e) are in place,	a current IPP is i	included in the		
September 30	, 2008	_		L. Clark	
Date			Signature of	School Authority	Designate

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: Shannon

Date of Birth: 06/30/1999

Age as of Sept. 1/2008: 9

Parents: Mrs. Parent

Date I.P.P. Created: September 2008

Address:

Phone #:

Eligibility Code: 46

Year of School/Grade: 4

Background information: Classroom context

School: Battner School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: Mrs. Jones

Additional IPP Team Members:

Mrs. Parent, mother

Tom Brown, vision consultant

Fred Greene, orientation and mobility specialist

Sally Schaeffer, teacher assistant

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Strengths

- · academically bright
- supportive family
- enthusiastic
- · good fine motor skills
- · sense of humour
- loves pets

Areas of Need

- braille skills
- · visual efficiency skills
- orientation and mobility
- · increase independent use of assistive technology (CCTV, magnifier)
- social skills
- personal grooming
- visual efficiency
- · leisure and recreation skills

Medical Conditions that Impact Schooling	Coordinated Support Services
Ocular albinism; visual acuity 6/60 in both eyes after correction Nystagmus	RECS (Regional Educational consulting Services) – one hour per month CNIB MRU
No current medical conditions that im schooling	None required at this time

Assessment Data Specialized Assessment Results)

Date	Test	Results
May 2007	TOWL-3	written language skills at grade level
March 2007	functional vision assessment – Tom Brown	see report
	Peabody Mobility Kit for Blind Students	see report
	Assessment for Low Vision for Educational Programs	see report
September 2006	Eye report – on file	visual acuity 6/60 in best eye with correction nystagmus (varies from mild to severe depending on fatigue, degree of visual challenge and wellness)

Current Level of Performance and Achievement	Year-end Summary
September March 2007 Woodcock Johnson-3 Achievement Battery Reading Comprehension: approximately one year below grade level Math computation: at grade level Math problem solving: approximately one year delay Overall Language skills within average range for age	June
Grade Level of Achievement Reporting I	nformation
☐ Adapted programming (graded curriculum)	
Modified programming (not graded curriculum	
If student is on a modified program, indicate relative to each goal category:	category of each goal and achievement level
 foundational skills (e.g., communicatio skills) 	ns, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor
Goals achieved: all most	☐ some ☐ none ☐ not applicable
 academic readiness skills (e.g., readines) outcomes in the programs of study in Gradiness achieved: 	
 life skills (e.g., skills that will assist the shome, school and community) Goals achieved: all most 	student in developing independence in the

Long-term Goal: Shannon will master Grade 4 work as measured by classroom tests based on the Programs of Study, while addressing her specific needs through different techniques of accessing print and classroom materials, improving her acquisition of braille, reading and writing skills.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
Shannon participates fully in Grade 4 Language Arts using braille. Shannon's braille reading rate will increase by 10 words per minute by December.	Tom Brown will assess Shannon's braille skills including reading rate in December.	
Shannon will use her braille reading and writing skills to complete 100% of social studies assignments without loss of performance by December.	Shannon is assessed in social studies using classroom criterion.	

- white cane
- BrailleNote, brailler, braille printer, computer, printer
- CCTV and monocular
- slant board
- desk magnifier

Long-term Goal: Shannon will use assistive technology commensurate with her abilities to access Grade 4 classroom work.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
Shannon will use a monocular, CCTV and desk magnifier to read information from the board and her textbook well enough to complete all Grade 4 math assignments.	Tom Brown will assess Shannon's monocular, CCTV and organization skills. Shannon is completing assignments and tests as measured by classroom criterion.	
Shannon will use BrailleNote and computer to complete three assignments per week by December. Her accuracy with assignments will be consistent with her performance on other assignments.	Tom Brown and teacher determine which assignments Shannon will complete and track this information.	

- · BrailleNote, brailler, braille printer, computer, printer
- CCTV and monocular
- slant board
- desk magnifier
- desk to accommodate brailler and CCTV materials
- · shelving for braille books, large print materials

Long-term Goal: Shannon will be able to travel safely and efficiently anywhere within the school building with 100% accuracy by the end of the school year.

Short-term Objectives	Assessment Procedures	Progress Review
Shannon will be able to instruct individuals assisting her with sighted guide by providing correct information regarding techniques by December with 100% accuracy.	Orientation and mobility specialist will assess Shannon's knowledge of sighted guide and her ability to transfer these skills.	
Shannon will effectively transfer the skills taught by the O & M instructor into her daily routine at school with 80% efficiency by December	Orientation and mobility specialist will access Shannon's ability to transfer her O & M skills into her daily routine.	

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

· white cane

Planning for Transition

- · Shannon has access to curriculum materials at the same time as her sighted peers.
- Shannon has access to teacher prepared materials at the same time as her classmates.
- Order materials from MRU by April 2008 for the 2008/2009 school year.
- Meet with CNIB in April to determine availability of summer programming opportunities.
- Inform family of CNIB library services so that Shannon has access to reading materials in leisure time.
- · Outline orientation and mobility needs for Grade 5 as well as in the home and community.
- Identify members of the learning team for the 2008/2009 school year and outline roles and responsibilities. Determine frequency and level of involvement.
- Ensure that Shannon's furniture requirements are moved into the receiving environment.

Additional Information	
Signatures	
I understand and agree with the informa	tion contained in this Individualized Program Plan.
Parents	Date
IPP Coordinator/Teacher	Date
Principal	Date

Section 3 — Appendices

Appendix A: Student Monitoring Form

Appendix B: Profil de l'élève ayant une déficience grave (formulaire)

Appendix C: Recording Anecdotal Information - Code 42

Appendix D: Commonly Asked Questions

Appendix E: Glossary Appendix F: Resources



Allocato	41 Sever	PLEASE CHECK CODE re Cognitive			
Education	42 Severe Emotional/Behavioural				
Student Monitoring Form Severe		e Multiple			
Disabilities Funding 2008/2009	44 Seven	e Physical or Medical, including Autism			
	45 Blinds				
School Authority					
School					
Student Name					
Alberta Student Number (ASN)					
Birth date (yy/mm/dd)	G	rade			
Placement (describe)					
1. Supporting documentation from the approp	riate profession	al(s) (please attach copies):			
	Year of	Professional Conducting			
Diagnosis	Diagnosis	Assessment			
Additional assessment information (please		learning environment?			
3. Services provided in accordance with the Fit School Year. Identify three or more of the for provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one intervent.	ollowing support	ts from (a) to (e) that are being			
School Year. Identify three or more of the for provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one interve	ollowing support	ts from (a) to (e) that are being during 2008/2009:			
School Year. Identify three or more of the for provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one interverse specialized setting with teacher	ollowing support	during 2008/2009: (hours per day); (staff:student ratio)			
School Year. Identify three or more of the for provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one interve specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teach	ollowing support	during 2008/2009: (hours per day): (staff:student ratio) (staff:student ratio)			
School Year. Identify three or more of the for provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one interver specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teacher assistant	ollowing support	during 2008/2009: (hours per day): (staff student ratio) (hours per day) (staff student ratio) (hours per day) (staff student ratio)			
School Year. Identify three or more of the for provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one interve specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teach	ollowing support	during 2008/2009: (hours per day): (hours per day) (staff student ratio) (hours per day) (staff student ratio) (hours per day) (staff student ratio)			
School Year. Identify three or more of the for provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one interver specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teacher assistant interpreter	ention provided of the assistant	chours per day): (staff:student ratio) (hours per day) (staff:student ratio) (hours per day) (staff:student ratio) (hours per day) (staff:student ratio) (staff:student ratio)			
School Year. Identify three or more of the for provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one interver specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teach teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or assistive	ention provided oner assistant	during 2008/2009: (hours per day); (staff:student ratio) (hours per day) (staff:student ratio) (hours per day) (staff:student ratio) (hours per day) (staff:student ratio) (ded during 2008/2009:			
School Year. Identify three or more of the forprovided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one interver specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teacher assistant interpreter other (specify)	ention provided of the control of th	chours per day): (hours per day): (hours per day): (hours per day) (hours per day) (hours per day) (staff:student ratio) (hours per day) (staff:student ratio) (staff:student ratio)			

other (specify)

specialized software

	Section	3 — Appendix A: Student Monitoring Form
c)	Assistance with personal care/health-related intervention	ns provided during 2008/2009:
	assistance with personal hygiene lifts and transfers	feeding program

catheterization g-tube feeding administration other	of medication	lifts and transfers respiratory therapy management of equipment O&M training avioural and/or medical sta	mobility training (wheelchair, walker)
Behaviour Medical		necdotal notes behaviou daily medical emergency p hourly daily	ur plan other monthly
e.g., Regional E Services must b	educational Consulting Sence provided to the individual erricon consultant therapist	student at a cost to the syst vice teams: (REACH, CASE, ERECS I student on a regularly scheduled ba speech therapist ATL consultant registered psychologist physical therapist school liaison worker	tem provided during 2008/2009: is, RESEAU), Student Health Partnership. vision consultant nursing services audiologist behaviour specialist school counsellor
programming	P identifies the asses to address those need		includes specific educational arning team, including the
	place, a current IPP	is included in the student's	e, at least three of five supports if file, and the student meets the
Date		Signature of	School Authority Designate



ur (fe	rofil de l'élève ayant ne déficience grave ormulaire) inancement 2008-2009	SVP COCHEZ UNE CATÉGORIE 41 Déficience cognitive grave 42 Déficience émotive et comportementale grave 43 Déficiences graves multiples 44 Déficience physique ou médicale grave (autisme) 45 Surdité 46 Cécité			
Au	torité scolaire				
Éc	ole				
No	m de l'élève				
Nu	méro d'identification de l'élève)				
Da	te de naissance (aa/mm/jj))	Ni	veau scolaire		
Pla	cement (décrivez)				
1.	Documentation d'appui des profession	nnels concernés (veuil	llez inclure dans le dossier de l'élève) :		
	Diagnostic	Date du diagnostic	Professionels faisant le diagnostic		
	Information supplémentaire (telle que	rapports des conseil	lers):		
2.	De quelle façon est-ce que cette cond	lition affecte l'élève da	ans l'environnement d'apprentissage?		

- 3. Services offerts selon le manuel des autorités scolaires pour le financement des personnes ayant des déficiences graves (Funding Manual for School Authorities 2008-2009 School Year. Identifiez au moins trois services d'appui (de « a » à « e ») présentement offerts à l'élève:
 - a) Instruction/intervention courante qui est spécialisée et individuelle 2008/2009:

-	aide enceignant		(havena non invel		(sound éléves françaises et soulaises
	aide-enseignant	-	(heures par jour)		(rapport élèves/personnel scolaire
	petit groupe de travail avec enseignant/aide-enseignant	_	(heures par jour)	_	(rapport éléves/personnel scolaire
	local spécialisé avec enseignant	_	(heures par jour)	_	(rapport élèves/personnel scolaire
	interprète	_	(heures par jour)		(rapport élèves/personnel scolaire
	autre (spécifiez)				

b) Équipement spécialisé ou adapté - 2008/2009:

appareils de communication	équipement pour l'ergothérapie ou la physiothérapie
aide par technologie d'ordinateur	mobilier spécialisé
amplificateur de voix	système FM
autre (spécifiez)	logiciel spécialisé

_		esoins essentie			
The same of	ide pour les so		sonde	fonctions alimental	
	iide pour les tra ilimentation par		thérapie respiratoire gestion d'appareils	administration d'oxy ergothérapie/physic	
		e médicaments		ition de fauteuil roulant	
		l'orientation et à l			
d) D	ocumentation	régulière sur le	comportement et la sante	- 2008/2009:	
	Comportement	liste de contrôle		an de autre	
		Fréquence -	par heure par jo	ur par semaine	par mois
	Médical	journal médic	plan de soins me d'urgence	edicaux autre	
		Fréquence +	par heure par jo	ur par semaine	par mois
s	conseiller en ada spécialiste de l'o ergothérapeute osychiatre	aptation scolaire puïe	consultant en techn psychologue enregi physiothérapeute agent de liaison	stré audiologist spécialiste	
	nutre (spécifiez) :		agent de necesi		, congregation
		personnalisée ((PIP) :		
	Un PIP en cours élaboré, signé p	s, pertinent à la d ar l'équipe-école	éficience décrite ci-dessus et par le parent/gardien, et	et aux besoins individue implanté formellement.	els de l'élève, est
- e) en	umentation pe	en cours est in	mande est disponible, troi clus dans le dossier de l'é	s des cinq appuis énu Hève. L'élève répond a	mérés au numéro ; aux critéres pour le
	Date		Signat	ure de l'autorité scolaire	disionia

Date

Student:			School:			
Grade			Week:			
Behaviour	Frequency	Location	Context	Function of Behaviour	Adult Response	Result
What does the student say or do that is problematic? No judgments or conclusions, just what was observed.)	How many times during the week was each behaviour observed?	Where is each behaviour occurring?	What was the student asked to do? What happened immediately prior to the student engaging in the problematic behaviour?	What was the student trying to get or to avoid?	How did the observing adults respond to the student's behaviour?	Did the student comply or was there further escalation immediately following the adult response? How was the incident resolved?

91

Teacher's signature

Student:

Chart for R	ecording Anecdotal Information – Code 42
	School:

Grade Week:

Behaviour	Frequency	Location	Context	Function of Behaviour	Adult Response	Result
o H						

Teacher's signature

Date

Answers to Commonly Asked Questions

The following are answers to commonly asked questions and examples of best practices.

Why does Alberta Education need all this information?

Alberta Education reviews documentation to determine if students meet the criteria for severe disabilities funding. Criteria include diagnosis, effect of the diagnosis on the student in a learning environment, identified supports and services in place, and current, implemented IPPs. The results of the documentation review are used to approve severe disability funding for private schools, charter schools and DSEPS. Where a jurisdiction requests an audit, the documentation is used to adjust jurisdiction severe disabilities profile numbers.

What is the relationship between the diagnosis and the severity of the disability? Diagnoses are important in determining whether students have special education needs, but the degree of need is determined by the impact of the conditions on school performance and the extent to which accommodations and supports are required for students to learn and be successful in the classroom. The relationship between a clinical diagnosis and the required level of special education programming and supports is not direct. The level of special education need is determined by an assessment of:

- · the number of areas of functioning affected
- · the extent to which functioning is affected in each area
- · the effect on others
- · the amount of support required.

What are "services at a cost to the system"?

Additional costs may be incurred only in cases where the professional is working directly with the student related to the goals and strategies of the IPP. For example, the school counsellor may work directly with an individual student on anger management, on a regularly scheduled basis to help meet a goal on the student's IPP. Cases where the counsellor is working on career counselling, ensuring that a student's homework book is signed, or working with a class on issues, are not eligible levels of support.

Does principal/administration time qualify as a level of service? No.

What if all of the student information is not available at the time of the file review? Student information, including assessment information and the student's IPP, must be in place for review by Alberta Education so that timely approvals of severe disabilities funding or adjustments to jurisdiction profiles can be made.

Glossary

Accommodations	Any changes to instructional strategies, assessment procedures, materials, resources, facilities or equipment.				
Adapted programming	Programming that retains the learning outcomes of the Program of Studies and where adjustments to the instructional process are provided to address the special education needs of the student.				
Assessment data	Diagnostic assessment data used to determine special education programming and services.				
Augmentive communication devices	Technology designed to enhance communication, such as automated communication board; Dynavox; SpeakEasy.				
Brailler	A machine used to produce braille—a system of writing and printing for persons with vision loss which uses raised points or dots.				
Current documentation	Current documentation refers to assessment data from specialists, including educational assessments; medical/health assessments such as vision, hearing, physical, neurological; speech and language assessments; occupational therapy, physiotherapy, behavioural, psychological or psychiatric assessments. Current assessment data/documentation is generally considered to be data that has been collected within the last two or three years.				
Frequency modulation (FM) system	An amplification system that is worn by the student with hearing loss. The speaker/ teacher wears a microphone and the speaker's voice is transmitted to a receiver which is directed into the student's hearing aids.				
Functional assessment Includes both formal (some objective data such as a state behavioural checklist) and anecdotal and/or direct observation of clinically relevant behaviour, should provide information on the student's functioning in environment in such areas as: social functioning organization/independent work skills related to both non-academic tasks life skills safety and support needs					
Individualized program plan (IPP):	A concise plan of action that is designed to address the student's specific special education needs and is based on diagnostic information which provides the basis for intervention strategies, and includes the following essential information: assessment data current level of performance and achievement identification of strengths and areas of need measurable goals and objectives procedures for evaluating student progress identification of coordinated support services (including health-related), if required relevant medical information required classroom accommodations (e.g., any changes to instructional strategies, assessment procedures, materials, resources, facilities or equipment) transition plans year-end summary.				
ltinerant teacher	Teacher specializing in a particular area of disability who provides direct programming support to the student, teacher and staff. Often included as part of the school team and participates in the development of student IPPs.				

Modified programming	The learning outcomes are significantly different from the provincial curriculum and are specifically selected to meet students' special education needs.
Sound field amplification system	An amplification system that allows all students to hear equally well no matter where they are located in the classroom. The system consists of a wireless microphone used by a speaker, with the voice being amplified within the room.

Resources

Administration Resources

The following Alberta Education resources are available on the department's website at: www.education.alberta.ca.

Handbook for the Identification and Review of Students with Severe Disabilities 2008/2009 www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Funding Manual for School Authorities 2008-2009 School Year www.education.alberta.ca/admin/funding/manual.aspx

Requirements for Special Education in Accredited-Funded Private Schools (2006) www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/programming/private.aspx

Special Education Coding Criteria 2008/2009 www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Standards for Special Education, Amended June 2004 www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Information Package for Alberta School Authorities for the 2008/2009 School Year In preparation for data exchange activities for the 2008/2009 school year, Information Services Branch has consolidated some information that is applicable to the operations in your school. This material is available at:

www.education.alberta.ca/admin/resources/forms/schoolpackage08-09.aspx

Student Information System User's Guide

This is a complete guide to submitting student registrations. The Student Information System (SIS) is a provincial student information database developed and maintained by Learner Records and Data Exchange (LRDE) at Alberta Education.

www.education.alberta.ca/admin/resources/form/schoolpackage08-09.aspx

Special Education Programming Resources

The following Alberta Education resources can be purchased from the Learning Resources Centre. For ordering information, visit www.lrc.education.alberta.ca or telephone (780) 427-5775, toll-free in Alberta at 310-0000. The books marked with are also available in pdf format from

www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Building on Success: Helping Students Make Transitions from Year to Year (2006) This resource provides practical strategies and sample tools for helping students make successful transitions from one school year to the next. The sample tools can be used to help students, parents and teachers collect, organize and share information about a student's individual strengths, abilities and learning needs. Accompanying CD-ROM contains both Word and pdf versions of sample tools.

The Learning Team: A Handbook for Parents of Children with Special Needs (2003) This book for parents of children with special needs is a revision and expansion of the resource Partners During Changing Times (1996). It provides a general overview of how parents can be involved in the education of their children and includes:

- · strategies for participating in the child's learning team
- suggestions for parents to enhance and enrich their child's learning at home
- · practical information and suggestions for:
 - helping children make successful transitions
 - voicing parent concerns
 - staying informed.

Essential Components of Educational Programming series

The Essential Components of Educational Programming series is intended to support appropriate educational programming, as outlined in the Standards for Special Education, Amended June 2004. The series has been designed for classroom teachers, resource personnel, administrators and parents.

Four books are available in pdf format at

www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/programming/components.aspx

- Essential Components of Educational Programming for Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders
- Essential Components of Educational Programming for Students with Behaviour Disabilities
- Essential Components of Educational Programming for Students Who Are Blind or Visually Impaired
- Essential Components of Educational Programming for Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing

Programming for Students with Special Needs series

Book 1 — Teaching for Student Differences (1995)

Highlights strategies for differentiating instruction within the regular classroom for students who may be experiencing learning or behavioural difficulties, or who may be gifted and talented. It also describes a process for modifying the regular program and includes forms to assist in teacher planning.

Book 2 — Essential and Supportive Skills for Students with Developmental Disabilities (1995) Includes developmental checklists for communication skills, gross and fine motor skills, as well as charts and checklists, which provide a continuum of life skills by domain (domestic and family life, personal and social development, leisure/recreation/arts, citizenship and community involvement, career development). It replaces the Alberta Education curricula (1983) for educable mentally handicapped, trainable mentally handicapped and dependent mentally handicapped students.

Book 3 — Individualized Program Planning (2006)

This resource describes a process for IPP development and includes strategies for involving parents. It provides information on writing long-term goals and short-term objectives. Forms and checklists are included to assist in planning. Transition planning also is addressed, along with case studies and samples of completed IPPs.

Book 4 — Teaching Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing (1995) Includes information on the nature of hearing loss, various communication systems, program planning and teaching strategies. A section on amplification and educational technologies includes hints for troubleshooting hearing aids and FM systems.

Book 5 — Teaching Students with Visual Impairments (1996)
This resource offers basic information to help provide successful school experiences for students who are blind or visually impaired. The book addresses:

- the nature of visual impairment
- educational implications
- · specific needs
- · instructional strategies
- the importance of orientation and mobility instruction
- the use of technology.

Book 6 — Teaching Students with Learning Disabilities (1996)

This resource provides practical strategies for regular classroom and special education teachers. Section I discusses the conceptual model and applications of the domain model. Section II includes identification and program planning, addressing early identification, assessment, learning styles and long-range planning. Section III contains practical strategies within specific domains including meta-cognitive, information processing, communication, academic and social/adaptive. Section IV addresses other learning difficulties including attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and fetal alcohol syndrome/possible prenatal alcohol-related effects. The appendices contain lists of annotated resources, test inventories, support network contacts and black line masters.

Book 7 — Teaching Students Who are Gifted and Talented (2000)

This resource provides practical strategies for regular classroom and special education teachers. Section I addresses administration of programs for the gifted and talented at both the district and school levels. Section II discusses concepts of giftedness, highlighting nine theoretical models. Section III discusses identification of gifted and talented students, developing IPPs, and and involving parents. Section IV discusses giftedness in the visual and performing arts. Section V contains strategies for designing and implementing programs, including curriculum modification. Section VI discusses post-modernism and gifted education. The appendices contain lists of annotated resources, test inventories, support network contacts and black-line masters.

Book 8 — Teaching Students with Emotional Disorders and/or Mental Illnesses (2000) This resource takes a comprehensive look at six emotional disorders or mental illnesses—eating disorders, anxiety disorders, depression, schizophrenia, oppositional defiant disorder or illness—and presents strategies for teachers, parents and other caregivers to use to assist students.

Book 9 — Teaching Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders (2003)

This resource provides basic knowledge about this spectrum of disabilities, educational programming implications and programming strategies.

Book 10 — Teaching Students with Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder: Building Strengths, Creating Hope (2004)

This resource is a revision and expansion of *Teaching Students with Fetal Alcohol Syndrome and Possible Prenatal Alcohol-related Effects* (1997), a teacher handbook developed by Alberta Education and the Alberta Partnership on Fetal Alcohol Syndrome. It includes key considerations for planning effective education programs and strategies for creating a positive classroom climate, organizing for instruction and responding to students' individual needs.

Supporting Positive Behaviour in Alberta Schools

This three-part resource provides information, strategies, stories from schools and sample tools for systematically teaching, supporting and reinforcing positive behaviour. This integrated system of school-wide classroom management and individual student support is designed to provide school staff with effective strategies to improve behavioural outcomes in their school. The resource is available in pdf format at www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources/behaviour.aspx

Part 1: Supporting Positive Behaviour in Alberta Schools: A school-wide approach Describes a comprehensive school-wide approach that involves all students, all staff and all school settings.

Part 2: Supporting Positive Behaviour in Alberta Schools: A classroom approach Provides information and strategies for systematically teaching, supporting and reinforcing positive behaviour in the classroom.

Part 3: Supporting Positive Behaviour in Alberta Schools: An intensive individualized approach

Provides information and strategies for providing intensive, individualized support and instruction for the small percentage of students requiring this level of intervention.

Individualized Program Planning (2006)

A revision of Book 3 in the *Programming for Students with Special Needs* series, this resource is for ECS to Grade 12. It provides information and sample strategies that teachers can use to develop and implement an effective and student-focused individualized program plan (IPP). It also includes expanded information on the IPP requirements in *Standards for Special Education, Amended June 2004* and *Requirements for Special Education in Accredited-Funded Private Schools*. The resource and related workshop materials are available in pdf format at www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources/ipp.aspx

Chapters:

- 1. Working Through the IPP Process
- 2. Encouraging Parent Involvement
- 3. Supporting Student Participation
- 4. Creating a Network of Support
- 5. Using Classroom Assessment to Support the IPP Process
- 6. Selecting Accommodations and Strategies
- 7. Making Goals Meaningful, Measurable and Manageable
- 8. Planning for Transitions
- 9. Infusing Assistive Technology for Learning into the IPP Process
- 10. Getting Off to a Good Start in ECS
- 11. Planning for Students who are Gifted
- 12. Promising Practices for Junior and Senior High School.

